

THREE VALLEYS REGIONAL URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APRIL 2026

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

2025 Part 1: Regional Context



THREE VALLEYS REGIONAL URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APRIL 2026

2025 Part 1: Regional Context



Prepared by GEI Consultants, Inc. and Water Systems Consulting, Inc.

Table of Contents

Standard Limitations and Disclaimer	v
Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	vi
Executive Summary	ix
E.S.1. Purpose of the Plan	ix
E.S.2. Agencies Included in the RUWMP	ix
E.S.3. Regional Setting	x
E.S.4. Population and Water Demand Projections	x
E.S.5. Regional Water Supply Portfolio	x
E.S.6. Water Supply Reliability and Drought Planning	xi
E.S.7. Threats to Local Supply Reliability	xi
E.S.8. Planned Capital Projects	xii
E.S.9. Water Conservation and Water Use Efficiency	xii
E.S.10. Regional Coordination	xiii
E.S.11. Conclusion	xiii
E.S.12. Use of This Plan	xiii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Background and Purpose	1
1.1.1. Regional Urban Water Management Plan	2
1.2. Plan Organization	2
1.3. Plan Preparation and Coordination	6
1.3.1. Participating Agencies	6
1.3.2. Public Participation	7
1.3.3. Stakeholder Workshops	10
1.4. Plan Adoption	10
2. Region Description	13
2.1. Regional Setting	13
2.2. Water Agencies in the Region	15
2.2.1. Water Supply Managers, Wholesalers, and Retailers	15
2.3. Population and Demographics	16
2.3.1. Historic Population Growth	16
2.3.2. Future Population Projections	18
2.3.3. Other Demographic Information	19
2.4. Land Uses	20
2.5. Regional Climate	23
2.5.1. Current Regional Climate	23

2.5.2.	Potential Effects of Climate Change	24
3.	Regional Water Sources and Management.....	26
3.1.	Imported Water	27
3.1.1.	Supply Description and Management	27
3.1.2.	Supply Reliability and Climate Impacts	28
3.1.3.	Imported Water Quality	30
3.1.4.	Other Imported and Purchased Water Supplies	31
3.2.	Groundwater	32
3.2.1.	Main San Gabriel Basin	34
3.2.2.	Chino Basin	37
3.2.3.	Six Basins	40
3.2.4.	Spadra Basin	43
3.2.5.	Central Basin	45
3.2.6.	Puente Basin	47
3.2.7.	Groundwater Quality Impacts on Reliability	49
3.3.	Surface Water	52
3.3.1.	Surface Hydrology and Major Sources	53
3.3.2.	Surface Water Diversions and Management	53
3.3.3.	Surface Water Quality and Climate Impacts	54
3.4.	Stormwater	56
3.4.1.	Regional Stormwater Capture Facilities	56
3.4.2.	Climate Change Vulnerabilities and Planned Improvements	57
3.5.	Recycled Water and Wastewater	58
3.5.1.	Wastewater Collection and Treatment	58
3.5.2.	Current Recycled Water Uses	59
3.5.3.	Planned Recycled Water Projects	60
3.6.	Transfers and Exchanges	60
3.7.	Supply From Storage	61
3.7.1.	TVMWD Regional Storage Capacity and MWD Cyclic Storage	61
3.7.2.	Recharge Mechanisms and Operational Constraints	64
3.8.	Desalination Opportunities	64
3.8.1.	Opportunities for Brackish Water and/or Groundwater Desalination	64
3.8.2.	Opportunities for Seawater Desalination	65
3.9.	Planned Water Supply Projects and Programs	65
4.	Regional Water Use	68
4.1.	Total Water Demands by Agency	68
4.2.	Water Use Efficiency	69
4.2.1.	SB X7-7 Compliance	69
4.2.2.	New Water Conservation Legislation	69
4.2.3.	Regional Demand Management Programs	70

5.	Comparison of Regional Supplies and Demands.....	72
5.1.	Supply and Demand Projections Methodology	72
5.1.1.	Demand Projections	72
5.1.2.	Supply Projections	73
5.2.	Summary of Regional Supplies and Demands	74
5.2.1.	Normal Year	74
5.2.2.	Single Dry Year	77
5.2.3.	Five-Consecutive Dry Year and Drought Risk (2026-2030) Assessments	78
6.	References.....	82

Tables

Table 1-1.	Regional vs. Individual Reporting Matrix	6
Table 1-2.	Stakeholders Notified of the Preparation of the 2025 RUWMP (60-Day Notice)	8
Table 1-3.	Participating Agency RUWMP Adoption Dates and Resolutions	11
Table 2-1.	Water Management Agencies in the TVMWD Region	16
Table 2-2.	Los Angeles County Population, 1980 to 2020	17
Table 2-3.	TVMWD Population, 1990 to 2020	17
Table 2-4.	Los Angeles County Projected Population, 2025 to 2050	18
Table 2-5.	Projected Population for the Region (2030 to 2050)	19
Table 2-6.	Projected Household for the TVMWD Service Area (2019 to 2050)	19
Table 2-7.	Projected Employment for the TVMWD Service Area (2019 to 2050)	19
Table 2-8.	Approximate Regional Land Use Distribution	23
Table 2-9.	Regional Climate Characteristics (Jul 1990 – Sep 2025)	24
Table 3-1.	Overview of Water Sources Used by TVMWD Member Agencies	27
Table 3-2.	Projected Availability of TVMWD Wholesale Imported Supplies (from MWD)	29
Table 3-3.	Historical Groundwater Production from the Main San Gabriel Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)	35
Table 3-4.	Historical and Projected Operating Safe Yield of the Main San Gabriel Basin	35
Table 3-5.	TVMWD Storage and Export Agreements in the Main San Gabriel Basin	37
Table 3-6.	Historical Groundwater Production from the Chino Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)	40
Table 3-7.	TVMWD Storage Agreements in the Chino Basin	40
Table 3-8.	Historical Groundwater Production from the Six Basins by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)	41
Table 3-9.	Recent Operating Safe Yields (Four Basins)	42
Table 3-10.	TVMWD Storage Agreements in the Six Basins	43
Table 3-11.	Historical Groundwater Production from the Spadra Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)	44
Table 3-12.	Historical Groundwater Production from the Central Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)	47
Table 3-13.	Primary Groundwater Contaminants and Regional Treatment Strategies	50
Table 3-14.	Primary Surface Water Quality Challenges and Operational Impacts	55
Table 3-15.	Major Stormwater Capture and Spreading Facilities Benefiting the Region	57
Table 3-16.	Wastewater Treatment Plants Serving the Region	59
Table 3-17.	Historical Annual Recycled Water Use by TVMWD Agencies (2021-2025)	60
Table 3-18.	TVMWD Regional Groundwater Storage Portfolio Summary	63
Table 4-1.	Projected Total Water Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	68

Table 5-1. Normal Year Supply and Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	76
Table 5-2. Normal Year Supply and Demand (Regional Total – All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	77
Table 5-3. Single Dry Year Supply and Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	78
Table 5-4. Single Dry Year Supply and Demand (Regional Total – All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	78
Table 5-5. Regional Drought Risk Assessment (2026-2030) Supply and Demand by Source (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	79
Table 5-6. Regional Drought Risk Assessment (2026-2030) Supply and Demand by Source (Regional Total – All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	80
Table 5-7. Multiple Dry Years Supply and Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	80
Table 5-8. Multiple Dry Years Supply and Demand (Regional Total - All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)	81

Figures

Figure 1-1. Regional Context vs. Local Agency UWMPs Relationship	5
Figure 2-1. Map of TVMWD Service Area	14
Figure 2-2. Los Angeles County Population, 1980 to 2020	17
Figure 2-3. Connect SoCal RTP Population Projection Comparison	19
Figure 2-4. Regional Land Use Map	22
Figure 3-1. TVMWD Regional Groundwater Basins	33
Figure 4-1. Urban Water Use Objectives Regulation Overview	69

Appendices

Part 3 of the 2025 RUMWP contains Regional Supporting Information.

Standard Limitations and Disclaimer

This report was prepared exclusively for the use of Three Valleys Municipal Water District. The findings and conclusions, if any provided by GEI in this report, are based solely on the information reported to GEI as of the date of this report. Future investigations or additional information not provided to GEI at the time of this report may result in modification of this report. GEI's scope of work did not include verifying the completeness or accuracy of information provided by others. Accordingly, GEI shall not be liable for any damages, costs, or other consequences resulting from reliance on such information if it is later determined to be inaccurate or incomplete. GEI's professional services for this project have been performed in a manner consistent with that degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by members of the same profession currently practicing in the same locality, performing similar services under similar conditions. GEI makes no other representations and no warranties, express or implied.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym	Definition
AB1668	Assembly Bill 1668
AF	Acre-feet
AFY	acre-feet per year
APA	Allowed Pumping Allocation
AWUR	Annual Water Use Report
AWWA	American Water Works Association
BPOU	Baldwin Park Operable Unit
CEC	Contaminants of Emerging Concern
cfs	cubic feet per second
CIC	Covina Irrigating Company
CIMIS	California Irrigation Management Information System
CRA	Colorado River Aqueduct
CVWC	Covina Valley Water Company
CWC	California Water Code
DAC	disadvantaged community
DDW	Division of Drinking Water
DBP	Disinfection Byproduct
DCP	Drought Contingency Plan
DCR	Delivery Capability Report
DMM	demand management measure
DRA	Drought Risk Assessment
DVL	Diamond Valley Lake
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
DYYP	Dry-Year Yield Program
ET	evapotranspiration
ETo	reference evapotranspiration
FY	Fiscal year
GAC	Granular Activated Carbon
GAMA	Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment Program
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographic information systems
GMP	Groundwater Management Plan
GPCD	gallons per capita per day
gpmd	gallons per mile of pipe per day
gpscd	gallons per service connection per day
GSA	Groundwater Sustainability Agency
GSP	Groundwater Sustainability Plan
IEUA	Inland Empire Utilities Agency
JWL	Joint Water Line

Acronym	Definition
LACSD	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
LACDPW	Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
LDX	Local Data Exchange
LRP	Local Resources Program
MAF	million acre-feet
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MHI	Median Household Income
MGD	million gallons per day
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
MWTP	Miramar Water Treatment Plant
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
OBMP	Optimum Basin Management Program
OSY	Operating Safe Yield
PBWA	Puente Basin Water Agency
PCE	Tetrachloroethene
PFAS	Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
PVPA	Pomona Valley Protective Association
RHNA	Regional Housing Needs Assessment
RO	reverse osmosis
RUWMP	Regional Urban Water Management Plan
SB1157	Senate Bill 1157
SB606	Senate Bill 606
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SGMA	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
SJCWRP	San Jose Creek Water Reclamation Plant
SWP	State Water Project
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TAZs	traffic analysis zones
TCE	Trichloroethene
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TCP	1,2,3-Trichloropropane
TVMWD	Three Valleys Municipal Water District
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan
UWMP Act	Urban Water Management Planning Act
VHWC	Valencia Heights Water Company
VIC	Variable Infiltration Capacity
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds
WUEData	Water Use Efficiency Data

Acronym	Definition
WRD	Water Replenishment District of Southern California
WRMP	Water Resources Master Plan
WRP	water reclamation plant
WSCP	Water Shortage Contingency Plan

Executive Summary

E.S.1. Purpose of the Plan

The 2025 Regional Urban Water Management Plan (RUWMP or Plan) provides a long-range assessment of water supply reliability for the Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD) service area and its participating retail agencies through the year 2050. The Plan is prepared in accordance with the California Urban Water Management Planning Act and the 2025 UWMP Guidebook issued by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) (DWR, 2026a). To satisfy the requirements of California Water Code Section 10630.5, this Executive Summary also serves as the statutory "Lay Description" of the Region's water supply reliability. The analytical framework, assumptions, and findings described in this lay description apply to all participating urban water suppliers included in this RUWMP. Individual UWMPs prepared as part of this regional effort rely on this description to satisfy the lay description requirement of the Urban Water Management Planning Act.

This RUWMP serves two primary purposes:

1. To clearly demonstrate that the Region has adequate and reliable water supplies to meet existing and projected future demands under normal conditions and during droughts; and
2. To provide a coordinated regional planning framework that improves consistency, reduces duplication, and strengthens individual UWMPs prepared by participating retail agencies.

By planning together at a regional scale, the agencies are better positioned to manage shared imported water systems and groundwater basins, respond to climate change, and maintain reliable water service for residents, businesses, and institutions.

E.S.2. Agencies Included in the RUWMP

This RUWMP was led by TVMWD in collaboration with seven retail water agencies (collectively referred to as the Participating Agencies):

- City of Glendora
- City of La Verne
- City of Pomona
- Golden State Water Company – Claremont System
- Golden State Water Company – San Dimas System
- Rowland Water District
- Walnut Valley Water District

In addition, the regional analysis incorporates water demand and supply estimates for other water agencies operating within the TVMWD service area. These include the Boy Scouts of America - Firestone Reservation, California Polytechnic University Pomona (Cal Poly Pomona), the City of Covina, Mt. San

Antonio College (Mt. SAC), Suburban Water Systems, and Valencia Heights Water Company. While these agencies prepared their own independent UWMPs, their water use affects shared regional supplies. Including them ensures that the regional water reliability analysis reflects total system conditions, rather than only participating agencies.

E.S.3. Regional Setting

The TVMWD service area (Region) covers approximately 133 square miles in southeastern Los Angeles County, extending from the foothills of the San Gabriel Mountains south to the Puente Hills and east toward the San Bernardino County line. The Region includes the Pomona Valley, Walnut Valley, and portions of the eastern San Gabriel Valley. The service area is predominantly urban with residential neighborhoods, commercial and industrial areas, educational institutions, and public facilities and is home to approximately 516,000 people as of the 2020 Census.

E.S.4. Population and Water Demand Projections

Population growth within the Region is expected to be modest through 2050, with most growth occurring through redevelopment and infill. Water demand projections account for anticipated population changes, employment trends, and land use patterns, as well as the effects of mandatory water conservation requirements.

Projected demands reflect ongoing and future reductions in per capita water use resulting from implementation of State mandated water use efficiency regulations, including the “Making Conservation a California Way of Life” requirements. These conservation measures are expected to partially offset demand increases associated with population growth and climate change.

E.S.5. Regional Water Supply Portfolio

The Region relies on a diversified water supply portfolio, which provides flexibility and resilience during dry conditions. Based on recent historical conditions (2021–2025), the regional water supply consists of:

- **Imported Water (~50%)**
Water purchased from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD), originating from the State Water Project (SWP) and the Colorado River, forms the backbone of the Region’s supply. MWD’s extensive surface and groundwater storage system provides a high level of drought reliability.
- **Local Groundwater (~44%)**
Groundwater is produced from six basins: the Main San Gabriel Basin, Chino Basin, Six Basins, Spadra Basin, Central Basin, and Puente Basin. These basins are managed through court adjudications or Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSPs) that protect long-term yields.
- **Recycled Water (~4%)**
Several agencies use recycled water for non-potable purposes such as landscape irrigation, which reduces demand for potable supplies.

- **Local Surface Water (~2%)**

Limited amounts of surface water are diverted from the San Gabriel River and San Antonio Creek, primarily during wet periods.

Together, these supplies support a robust conjunctive use strategy that includes storing imported water in local groundwater basins during wet years and withdrawing stored water during dry years.

E.S.6. Water Supply Reliability and Drought Planning

This RUWMP evaluates water supply reliability under multiple scenarios, including normal hydrologic conditions, a single dry year, and a five consecutive year drought. The analysis incorporates climate change considerations, including increased temperatures and changes in precipitation patterns.

The results of the reliability analysis demonstrate that sufficient supplies are projected to be available to meet regional water demands through 2050 under all evaluated scenarios. Short-term or localized reductions in groundwater or local surface water are assumed to be offset by imported water supplies from TVMWD and MWD, which are projected to remain fully reliable through the planning horizon. Regional groundwater storage, access to imported water supplies, and coordinated drought response planning provide the necessary flexibility to manage extended dry periods.

Each Participating Agency maintains its own Water Shortage Contingency Plan (WSCP), which outlines actions to be taken during water shortages and drought conditions.

E.S.7. Threats to Local Supply Reliability

While the Region's water portfolio is highly reliable under modeled scenarios, TVMWD and the Participating Agencies face operational threats that require proactive management. These threats primarily impact the physical ability to access and utilize local water supplies:

- **Climate Volatility**

As identified in the Region's 2024 Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment, rising temperatures and shifting hydrologic patterns threaten both supply and demand. The Region is projected to experience shorter, flashier rainy seasons characterized by high-intensity storm events. This volatility poses a direct challenge to local spreading grounds, as heavy storm flows can exceed the immediate capture capacity of diversion facilities, resulting in lost groundwater recharge. Concurrently, hotter baseline temperatures are expected to drive up evapotranspiration and outdoor water demands.

- **Groundwater Quality and "Stranded Assets"**

Decades of historical land-use practices have introduced contaminants – including Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), nitrates, and perchlorate – into the Region's underlying aquifers. Furthermore, the Region faces a threat from moving regulatory targets, specifically the introduction of ultra-low Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS). When wells exceed these strict new safety standards, they must be taken offline. These "stranded assets" temporarily eliminate local extraction capacity, forcing agencies to rely more heavily on imported water when the Region is working to reduce that dependence.

E.S.8. Planned Capital Projects

To proactively mitigate these climate and regulatory vulnerabilities, TVMWD and its Participating Agencies have identified a suite of collaborative water supply projects. These regional partnerships focus on optimizing existing infrastructure, remediating stranded groundwater assets, expanding conjunctive use, and maximizing the capture of local supplies. Key planned regional projects include:

- **Restoring Stranded Assets (GRIP+)**

A major regional partnership between TVMWD, the City of Glendora, and the City of Pomona to install new extraction wells and advanced wellhead treatment systems. By treating contaminated groundwater, this project will restore local extraction capacity and produce approximately 9,200 acre-feet per year (AFY) of highly reliable local supply from the Main San Gabriel Basin.

- **Advanced Recycled Water Storage (Spadra Basin Optimization)**

A collaborative initiative spearheaded by Walnut Valley Water District, the City of Pomona, and Cal Poly Pomona. This project proposes injecting advanced treated recycled water into the Spadra Basin via new injection wells, creating a drought-resilient local storage asset with a capacity of up to 3,500 acre-feet (AF).

- **Conjunctive Use Exchanges (Chino Basin)**

Building upon existing successful dry-year yield programs, TVMWD and Pomona are evaluating an "in-lieu" exchange program. TVMWD would fund extraction and treatment upgrades in the Chino Basin in exchange for Pomona pumping and conveying an equivalent amount of local water to other TVMWD member agencies during droughts.

- **Stormwater Capture Enhancements**

To address the shift toward shorter, higher-intensity winter storms, the Region is working with local flood control districts and protective associations to excavate deeper recharge basins (such as the San Antonio Spreading Grounds) and upgrade diversion structures. This will increase the instantaneous capture and storage volume of flash-flood runoff.

- **External Partnerships and Interties**

The Region is actively pursuing new pipeline interties, such as a partnership through the Puente Basin Water Agency with the Covina Valley Water Company. This will allow the physical importation of up to 2,000 AFY of surplus local water eastward, directly offsetting reliance on imported water deliveries.

E.S.9. Water Conservation and Water Use Efficiency

Water conservation and water use efficiency are core components of the Region's water management strategy. Retail agencies have implemented a wide range of conservation programs, including public education, rebate programs, landscape efficiency improvements, leak detection, and tiered water pricing.

All Participating Agencies previously met the State's 2020 water conservation targets and continue to align with new efficiency standards established by State legislation and regulations. These efforts reduce long-term demand and improve overall system reliability.

E.S.10. Regional Coordination

This RUWMP was developed through a coordinated regional planning process involving TVMWD and Participating Agencies. Regional planning allows for consistent assumptions regarding shared water supplies, reduces duplication of effort, and strengthens the technical foundation of individual agency UWMPs.

Public participation was incorporated through notices, public meetings, and hearings conducted by the Participating Agencies prior to adoption of the Plan. TVMWD also conducted regional workshops with the stakeholders in the Region to provide an update on the development of the RUWMP.

E.S.11. Conclusion

This RUWMP demonstrates that the TVMWD region has adequate and reliable water supplies to meet projected demands through 2050, even under extended drought conditions. Long-term water demands are expected to decline due to conservation regulations, despite modest population growth.

Through continued use of diversified water supplies, conservation, regional coordination, and proactive planning, the Region is well positioned to adapt to future challenges, including climate change and regulatory requirements, while maintaining reliable water service for residents and businesses. Furthermore, continued investment in groundwater quality treatment is critical to protecting local water supplies. Regional coordination improves planning consistency and strengthens individual agency UWMPs.

E.S.12. Use of This Plan

This RUWMP serves as the regional technical foundation for each Participating Agency's individual Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). Each agency adopts the portions of the Plan relevant to its service area while maintaining authority over local operations, conservation programs, and water shortage response actions.

Taken together, the Regional Context (**Part 1**) and Individual Agency UWMP chapters (Part 2) along with the Regional Supporting Information (**Part 3**) and Agency Supporting Information (Part 4) provide a comprehensive, coordinated strategy to ensure safe, reliable, and sustainable water service for the Three Valleys region.

1. Introduction

Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD) and its partnering agencies have long demonstrated a commitment to regional water resilience and sustainable resource management. As water supply reliability challenges continue to evolve across Southern California, TVMWD and its retail agencies recognize that water resources – specifically, imported water from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) and local groundwater basins – are regionally interdependent. To address these shared challenges and ensure consistent planning assumptions, TVMWD has led the development of this 2025 Regional Urban Water Management Plan (RUWMP or Plan) in collaboration with seven of its retail agencies.

The agencies participating in this 2025 RUWMP (Participating Agencies) include:

- Three Valleys Municipal Water District (Lead Agency)
- City of Glendora
- City of La Verne
- City of Pomona
- Golden State Water Company (GSWC) (Claremont System)
- GSWC (San Dimas System)
- Rowland Water District (Rowland WD)
- Walnut Valley Water District (WVWD)

In addition, there are two TVMWD retail agencies who have prepared independent 2025 UWMPs: the City of Covina and Suburban Water Systems. TVMWD has also coordinated with these agencies through development of this RUWMP and has incorporated their estimated supplies and demands into the regional analysis to provide a comprehensive analysis of regional water resources.

By coordinating as a Region¹, the Participating Agencies aim to reduce redundancy, improve consistency in supply and demand assumptions, and strengthen the technical foundation for each agency’s individual planning efforts. This RUWMP presents a unified, regionally consistent analysis of water supply reliability, demand projections, and drought preparedness, providing a roadmap for the region to maintain reliable water service through 2050.

1.1. Background and Purpose

The California Water Code (CWC) requires urban water suppliers within the state to prepare and adopt Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs) for submission to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). The UWMPs, which are required to be filed every five years, must satisfy the requirements of the Urban Water Management Planning Act (UWMP Act) of 1983, including subsequent

¹ The Three Valleys Municipal Water District service area is referred to as the Region in the context of this RUWMP.

amendments. The UWMP Act requires urban water suppliers providing water for municipal purposes to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than 3,000 acre-feet (AF) of water annually to prepare a UWMP.

A UWMP is intended to function as a long-term planning tool to guide broad-perspective decision-making by water suppliers. It is a general planning framework rather than an exact blueprint for supply and demand management. It generally answers a series of planning questions, including:

1. What are the potential sources of supply and what is the reasonable probable yield from them?
2. What is the probable demand, given a reasonable set of assumptions about growth and implementation of water use efficiency?
3. How well do supply and demand figures match up, assuming that the various probable supplies will be pursued?
4. How will the agency respond to drought conditions or water shortages?

This 2025 RUWMP satisfies all CWC requirements for the 2025 cycle, including updates to the UWMP Guidebook, published by DWR in December 2025; details regarding these updates are described in Chapter 1 of the DWR 2025 UWMP Guidebook (DWR, 2026a).

1.1.1. Regional Urban Water Management Plan

Water purveyors are permitted by DWR to work together to develop a cooperative regional UWMP. CWC Section 10620(d)(1) states: "An urban water supplier may satisfy the requirements of this part by participation in area wide, regional, watershed, or basin wide urban water management planning where those plans will reduce preparation costs and contribute to the achievement of conservation and efficient water use."

The purpose of jointly preparing this RUWMP is to facilitate a consistent evaluation of water sources common to the various agencies (specifically imported water from TVMWD and shared groundwater basins), to take advantage of group knowledge and experience, and to reduce preparation costs.

1.2. Plan Organization

This Plan is organized to meet the requirements of the UWMP Act for the eight Participating Agencies (TVMWD and seven retail agencies). Each Participating Agency has reviewed, adopted, and will implement the portions of this Plan relevant to their agency.

To streamline reporting and avoid redundant data entry, this Plan is organized into four distinct parts:

Part 1: Regional Context

Part 1 contains the information needed to meet the UWMP Act requirements that are common to all Participating Agencies. This section provides a comprehensive "roll-up" of the region's water portfolio. It includes:

- **Regional System Description:** A description of the climate, demographics, and land use for the entire TVMWD service area.
- **Water Sources:** Detailed descriptions of the shared imported water supplies (from MWD) and the management of regional groundwater basins (Main San Gabriel, Chino, Six Basins, Spadra, Central, and Puente).
- **Regional Reliability:** An aggregation of supply and demand projections to assess water reliability for normal, single-dry, and five-year consecutive drought scenarios through 2050. Also includes a near-term stress test assessing the region’s ability to meet demands during a drought over the next five years (2026–2030).

It should be noted that while the RUWMP is authored and adopted by the eight Participating Agencies listed above, the regional analysis in **Part 1** incorporates data from Other Member Agencies within the TVMWD service area (such as the City of Covina and Suburban Water Systems) to ensure the regional supply and demand assessment accurately reflects the total water use within the TVMWD service area.

Part 2: Individual Agency UWMPs

Part 2 includes a specific chapter for each of the Participating Agencies. Each chapter is supplemental to the regional information presented in **Part 1**. These chapters contain the agency-specific data required to meet the UWMP Act that cannot be reported regionally, including:

- Service area maps and climate characteristics.
- Descriptions of past and current water use.
- Future demand projection methodologies.
- Local water distribution system losses (water loss audit results).
- SB X7-7 and future water use objectives.
- Water supply entitlements or constraints unique to that retailer.
- Water Shortage Contingency Plan (WSCP) adoption and protocols.
- Demand management measures implemented by that retailer.
- RUWMP adoption.

Part 3: Regional Supporting Information

Part 3 includes all supporting documentation referenced in **Part 1** that is applicable to the region as a whole. This includes the DWR Regional UWMP Checklist (Appendix A), documentation of regional public notices and workshops, water supply agreements and legal documents, and the regional adoption resolution by the Lead Agency (TVMWD), which formally adopts both this Regional Context and TVMWD’s specific wholesale UWMP components.

Part 4: Local Agency Supporting Information

Part 4 includes a set of supporting documentation for each retail Participating Agency, corresponding to their respective chapters in **Part 2**. Documents for each agency include:

- The DWR Retail UWMP Checklist verifying the agency has met UWMP Act requirements.
- Proof of agency-specific public hearing notices and adoption resolutions.
- The full text of the agency's WSCP.
- Completed DWR Submittal Tables specific to that agency.
- Water supply agreements specific to that agency.

Reporting Structure

This 2025 RUWMP utilizes a layered reporting approach to satisfy both regional and individual requirements. This structure ensures consistency across agency-specific chapters while maintaining the necessary granularity for individual agency compliance. Figure 1-1 illustrates the relationship between the Regional Context (**Part 1**) and the Local Agency UWMPs (**Part 2**). **Table 1-1** identifies the location of key UWMP data elements within this document.

Figure 1-1. Regional Context vs. Local Agency UWMPs Relationship




Table 1-1. Regional vs. Individual Reporting Matrix

UWMP Requirement	Part 1: Regional Context	Part 2: Individual Agency Chapters
System Description	Regional Climate and Demographics	Local Service Area Map and Customer Class Data
Water Use (Demand)	Aggregated Regional Demand Totals	Agency-Specific Demand Projections
SB X7-7 / AB 1668 Compliance	General Regional Trends	Specific Target Calculations and Compliance
Water Supply: Imported	MWD Reliability and Wholesale Allocation	Agency-Specific Purchase Projections
Water Supply: Groundwater	Basin Descriptions, Management, and Safe Yield	Agency-Specific Pumping Rights and History
Water Supply: Recycled	Regional Infrastructure and Opportunities	Agency-Specific Use and Projection
Water Supply Reliability	Regional Water Budget (Surplus/Deficit)	Agency-Specific Reliability Tables
Drought Risk Assessment (DRA)	Regional 5-Year Stress Test (2026-2030)	Agency-Specific 5-Year Stress Test
Water Shortage Contingency Plan	Regional Summary and Consistency	Full Agency WSCP Adoption and Protocols
Demand Management Measures	Regional Conservation Programs (TVMWD)	Local Conservation Programs
Adoption and Submittal	Regional Resolution (TVMWD)	Individual Resolutions (Retailers)

By utilizing this structure, the Participating Agencies ensure that the "Regional Context" serves as the substantiated technical foundation for the "Individual Agency UWMPs," resulting in a cohesive and defensible regional planning document.

1.3. Plan Preparation and Coordination

Management of water resources in the Three Valleys region takes place within a complex legal and institutional framework. Development of this RUWMP required comprehensive coordination involving the cooperation of many parties engaged in water management. TVMWD solicited public involvement in the planning process by presenting updates at scheduled workshops and Board meetings, as well as soliciting public comments on the draft RUWMP.

The successful development of this 2025 RUWMP required strong coordination between TVMWD, MWD, and its retail agencies. The planning process was structured to ensure clear communication, foster collaboration, and maintain transparency between all agencies and broader stakeholders.

1.3.1. Participating Agencies

The agencies participating in the development and adoption of this RUWMP are listed in Section 1 (Introduction). These eight agencies (TVMWD and seven retail agencies) actively collaborated to develop

the demand and supply projections, assess regional drought risk, and align water shortage communication protocols where feasible (though each agency retains its own independent WSCP).

In addition to the Participating Agencies, this RUWMP incorporates data from "Other Member Agencies" within the TVMWD service area. While these agencies are not co-authors or signatories to this specific RUWMP, their water demands draw from the same regional aqueducts and groundwater aquifers as the Participating Agencies; collectively, these agencies represent a significant portion of the region's total water demand. To accurately project whether the region can meet its needs through 2050, projections for these agencies were estimated based on publicly available data (such as Master Plans and previous UWMPs) and data provided to TVMWD as part of regular reporting and was incorporated into the regional analysis in **Part 1**.

These Other Member Agencies include:

- Boy Scouts of America - Firestone Reservation / City of Industry
- California Polytechnic University, Pomona
- City of Covina
- Mt. San Antonio College
- Suburban Water Systems
- Covina Valley Water Company

Among the Other Member Agencies, the City of Covina and Suburban Water Systems have prepared independent 2025 UWMPs, as mentioned in the Introduction.

1.3.2. Public Participation

The Participating Agencies encouraged public participation in the preparation of this Plan to ensure the public's comments were considered in decisions about water management in the region. In accordance with the UWMP Act, the Participating Agencies issued a Notice of Plan Preparation to cities and counties within the region at least 60 days prior to the public hearing (notices distributed between March 13 and March 27, 2026). This notice informed stakeholders that the 2025 RUWMP was being prepared and invited input. **Table 1-2** identifies the stakeholders notified of the 2025 RUWMP preparation.

Copies of these 60-day Notice of Preparation letters and the associated distribution lists are included in each Participating Agency's Public Outreach appendix (**Appendix [X]-2**) in **Part 4**.

Prior to adoption, each Participating Agency provided notice to the public through its website and published announcements of the public hearing in a local newspaper once per week for two consecutive weeks. Copies of the proofs of publication for these public hearings are included in each Participating Agency's Public Outreach appendix (**Appendix [X]-2**) in **Part 4**.

Table 1-2. Stakeholders Notified of the Preparation of the 2025 RUWMP (60-Day Notice)

Agency/City/County	Three Valleys Municipal Water District	City of Glendora	City of La Verne	City of Pomona	GSWC - Claremont	GSWC - San Dimas	Rowland Water District	Walnut Valley Water District
Building Industry Association	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Cal Poly Pomona	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chino Basin Watermaster	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
City of Azusa	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
City of Claremont	✓	n/a	✓	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a
City of Covina	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
City of Diamond Bar	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	✓
City of Glendora	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
City of Industry	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	✓
City of La Habra	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
City of La Puente	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
City of La Verne	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
City of Montclair	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a
City of Pomona	✓	n/a	✓	✓	✓	n/a	✓	n/a
City of San Dimas	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
City of Upland	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a
City of Walnut	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	✓	✓
City of West Covina	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	✓
City of Whittier	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Covina Valley Water Company	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Golden State Water Co.	✓	✓	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hacienda La Puente Unified School District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Inland Empire Utilities Agency	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a

Agency/City/County	Three Valleys Municipal Water District	City of Glendora	City of La Verne	City of Pomona	GSWC - Claremont	GSWC - San Dimas	Rowland Water District	Walnut Valley Water District
La Habra Heights County Water District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
La Puente Valley County Water District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Los Angeles County	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Metropolitan Water District	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mt. San Antonio College	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pico Water District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Rowland Unified School District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Rowland Water District	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓
Six Basins Watermaster	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Suburban Water Systems	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sycamore Development Partners	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Three Valleys Municipal Water District	n/a	✓	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	✓
Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District	✓	✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Valley County Water District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Walnut Valley Water District	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a
Yorba Linda Water District	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	✓	n/a

Note: n/a = not applicable.

1.3.3. Stakeholder Workshops

Participating Agencies collaborated in the development of the Plan through a series of individual meetings and regional workshops to update elements of the Plan and review and provide feedback on preliminary results.

Individual Agency Data Collection

The data collection effort began with individual meetings between TVMWD planning staff and each of the seven retail Participating Agencies. These meetings were aimed at gathering granular data – including production numbers, consumption logs, and water loss audits – from the previous five fiscal years (FYs) 2021-2025). Following the initial data analysis, follow-up meetings were held with each agency to "ground-truth" their draft demand and supply projections. This iterative process allowed each agency to verify that their draft projections accurately reflected their specific portfolios, development plans, and operational constraints.

Regional Workshops

TVMWD hosted two regional workshops to facilitate data sharing and consensus among the agencies.

- Workshop 1 (January 29, 2026): The first workshop brought together Participating Agencies and other non-participating agencies to review the 2025 RUWMP structure, confirm the regional supply and demand projections, and review the results of the DRA. The meeting agenda, sign-in sheets, and presentation materials for this workshop are included in **Part 3, Appendix E**.
- Workshop 2 (April 2, 2026): A second workshop was held to review the Draft RUWMP and individual agency chapters prior to public release. This workshop focused on ensuring consistency across the agency-specific chapters (**Part 2**) and the regional roll-up (**Part 1**). The meeting agenda, sign-in sheets, and presentation materials for this workshop are included in **Part 3, Appendix E**.

1.4. Plan Adoption

The CWC requires that the UWMP be adopted by the governing body of each Participating Agency. Before the Plan could be adopted, the agencies were required to notify the public and hold a public hearing to encourage community input.

Adoption Strategy (Part 1 vs. Part 2)

To ensure that each Participating Agency meets its individual regulatory obligations while benefiting from a coordinated regional approach, this RUWMP was structured to allow agencies to adopt the specific components relevant to their service area.

- Three Valleys Municipal Water District (Lead Agency): Adopted **Part 1 (Regional Context)**, **Part 3 (Regional Supporting Information)**, and its specific wholesale agency chapter in **Part 2**.

- Retail Participating Agencies: Adopted **Part 1, Part 3**, and their respective individual agency chapter in **Part 2** and local appendices in **Part 4**.

This structure ensures that the "Regional Context" (**Part 1**) serves as a common foundation for the Participating Agencies, while the "Individual Agency UWMPs" (**Part 2**) remain the specific responsibility of each local governing body.

Public Hearing and Resolution

Following the public noticing period described in **Section 1.3**, each Participating Agency held a public hearing to discuss the 2025 RUWMP and the WSCP. The hearings provided an opportunity for the public to comment on the agencies' water management strategies.

Following the public hearing, each agency's governing body adopted the 2025 RUWMP and the 2025 WSCP via resolution. **Table 1-3** summarizes the adoption dates and resolution numbers for each Participating Agency. Copies of the signed resolutions are included in **Part 3, Appendix F** (for the TVMWD Lead Agency resolution) and **Part 4**.

Table 1-3. Participating Agency RUWMP Adoption Dates and Resolutions

Agency	Public Hearing and Adoption Meeting
TVMWD	June 3, 2026
City of Glendora	May 26, 2026
City of La Verne	May 18, 2026
City of Pomona	May 18, 2026
GSWC (Claremont)	May 26, 2026
GSWC (San Dimas)	May 26, 2026
Rowland Water District	May 19, 2026
Walnut Valley Water District	May 18, 2026

Plan Submittal

In accordance with the UWMP Act, the Final 2025 RUWMP and WSCPs were submitted to DWR within 30 days of adoption and by the statutory deadline of July 1, 2026. The submittal was completed electronically using the DWR Water Use Efficiency Data (WUEdata) online portal.

Additionally, copies of the adopted Plan were submitted to the California State Library and the cities and counties within the region within 30 days of adoption. Transmittal receipts and letters are provided in **Part 3, Appendix G**.

Amending the Plan

If the adopted 2025 RUWMP or WSCP requires amendment in the future, the agency proposing the amendment will follow the same procedures for notification, public hearing, adoption, and submittal as were used for the original Plan, in accordance with the CWC.

2. Region Description


The Three Valleys Municipal Water District service area (Region) is located in the southeastern portion of Los Angeles County and covers a diverse landscape ranging from the foothills of the San Gabriel Mountains to the urbanized valley floors. This chapter describes the Region's characteristics, including its physical setting, population demographics, land use, and regional climate.

This chapter also identifies the local agencies and water suppliers that have a role in managing water resources within the Region. These agencies work collaboratively to manage a complex portfolio of imported water, local groundwater, and local surface water to support a thriving regional economy and population. A detailed discussion of the water resources managed within the Region is provided in Chapter 3 (Regional Water Sources and Management).

2.1. Regional Setting

The Region is defined by the service area of TVMWD, which encompasses approximately 133 square miles. The Region derives its name from the three primary valleys that make up its service area: the Pomona Valley, the Walnut Valley, and the eastern portion of the San Gabriel Valley. **Figure 2-1** summarizes this Region and its surrounding area.

Figure 2-1. Map of TVMWD Service Area



Geographically, the Region is bordered by the San Gabriel Mountains to the north, which provide a critical watershed for local surface flows and spreading grounds. To the east, the Region is bounded by the San Bernardino County line; to the south, by the Puente Hills and Orange County line; and to the west, by the San Gabriel River and the main San Gabriel Valley urbanized corridor.

The topography of the Region varies significantly, ranging from rugged foothill terrain in the north (Claremont, Glendora, La Verne) to the gently sloping valley floors where the majority of the residential and commercial development is located. This variation in terrain influences the Region's water management strategies, particularly regarding groundwater recharge capability and pressure zone management for water distribution.

The Region is a highly urbanized to semi-urbanized area that serves as a transition zone between the greater Los Angeles metropolitan area and the Inland Empire. It is traversed by major transportation corridors, including Interstates 10 and 210 and State Route 57, which have historically driven population growth and economic development in the area. Despite its urbanization, the Region maintains significant connection to natural resources, particularly along the northern foothill interface, which also presents specific climate vulnerabilities such as wildfire risk.

2.2. Water Agencies in the Region

Management of the Region's water resources involves a hierarchy of water suppliers, including a regional wholesaler and multiple retail agencies. TVMWD acts as the regional wholesaler, bridging the gap between the imported water supplies from MWD – a regional wholesale cooperative responsible for importing and managing Colorado River and State Water Project (SWP) supplies for Southern California – and the retail agencies that serve residents and businesses. The retail agencies include municipalities, special districts, investor-owned utilities, and mutual water companies, each responsible for the direct management of water supply distribution, local groundwater extraction, and customer service.

2.2.1. Water Supply Managers, Wholesalers, and Retailers

TVMWD is a public agency formed by election of voters in 1950. As a member agency of MWD, TVMWD is the primary wholesale water supplier for the Region. TVMWD is responsible for long-range regional water supply management, including the importation of supplemental water from the SWP and the Colorado River Aqueduct (CRA) via MWD. TVMWD manages the transmission pipelines and treatment facilities necessary to deliver this imported water to its member agencies. Additionally, TVMWD plays a critical role in regional groundwater management by coordinating connection to MWD's replenishment programs for the Main San Gabriel, Chino, and Six Basins.

Regional Water Agencies

There are 13 retail water agencies and/or community water systems operating within the TVMWD service area. These agencies vary in size and governance structure, but all rely on the common regional resources managed in this Plan.

For the purposes of this 2025 RUWMP, the retail agencies are categorized into two groups:

1. **Participating Agencies:** Seven retail agencies actively participated in the development of this Plan. Detailed descriptions of their specific service areas, water use, and supply reliability are provided in their respective chapters in **Part 2** of this Plan.
2. **Other Member Agencies:** Six additional agencies operate within the Region. While these agencies are not signatories to this specific RUWMP, their water demands represent a significant portion of the regional total. Therefore, their supply and demand data has been estimated and included in the Regional Context (**Part 1**) analysis to ensure an accurate assessment of total regional reliability.

Table 2-1 summarizes the water agencies within the Region.

Table 2-1. Water Management Agencies in the TVMWD Region

Agency Name	Agency Type	RUWMP Status
Three Valleys Municipal Water District	Special District (Wholesaler)	Lead Agency
City of Glendora	Municipality	Participating Agency
City of La Verne	Municipality	Participating Agency
City of Pomona	Municipality	Participating Agency
Golden State Water Co. (Claremont)	Investor-Owned Utility	Participating Agency
Golden State Water Co. (San Dimas)	Investor-Owned Utility	Participating Agency
Rowland Water District	Special District	Participating Agency
Walnut Valley Water District	Special District	Participating Agency
City of Covina	Municipality	Other Member Agency
Suburban Water Systems	Investor-Owned Utility	Other Member Agency
Covina Valley Water Company Retail (Formally Valencia Heights Water Company)	Mutual Water Company	Other Member Agency
Cal Poly Pomona	State University	Other Member Agency
Mt. San Antonio College	Community College	Other Member Agency
Boy Scouts (Firestone Reservation)	Non-Profit/Institutional	Other Member Agency

2.3. Population and Demographics

Understanding the demographic makeup and growth trajectory of the TVMWD service area is essential for accurately projecting future water demands, designing effective conservation programs, and developing equitable long-term water management strategies. While the Region experienced periods of rapid residential and commercial expansion throughout the late 20th century, recent demographic data indicates a transition toward stabilized, modest population growth driven primarily by urban infill and redevelopment.

2.3.1. *Historic Population Growth*


The Region covers the eastern part of Los Angeles County, as well as the eastern half of the San Gabriel Valley. Because of the San Gabriel Valley’s close relationship with the economy and job market of the general Los Angeles region, economic trends that affect housing demands and job growth in Los Angeles

can be generally applied to San Gabriel Valley and the TVMWD service area. Historic U.S. Census population values and average annual growth rates for Los Angeles County are shown in **Table 2-2.** and **Figure 2-2.** Between 1980 and 2000, Los Angeles County grew rapidly, adding nearly 140,000 people each year from 1980 to 1990. By 2000, growth slowed and has been flattening out, gaining only 20,000 people per year from 2010 to 2020. Going forward, the population of Los Angeles County is projected to decline through 2050, as discussed in the following section.

Table 2-2. Los Angeles County Population, 1980 to 2020

Los Angeles County Population	1980	1990	2000	2010	2020
Population	7,477,239	8,863,052	9,519,315	9,818,605	10,014,009
Average Annual % Increase		1.71%	0.72%	0.31%	0.20%
Annual Average Population Increase, people per year		139,000	66,000	30,000	20,000

Figure 2-2. Los Angeles County Population, 1980 to 2020



U.S. Census data indicate the TVMWD service area has experienced somewhat slower population growth than the greater Los Angeles County area. The Census populations for the TVMWD service area and annual average growth rates are shown in **Table 2-3.**

Table 2-3. TVMWD Population, 1990 to 2020

TVMWD Population	1990	2000	2010	2020
Population	474,112	508,711	506,446	515,688
Average Annual % Increase		0.71%	(0.04%)	0.18%
Annual Average Population Increase, people per year		3,460	230	920

2.3.2. Future Population Projections

According to the California Department of Finance projections, the population of Los Angeles County is projected to decline from 2025 to 2050, as shown in **Table 2-4** (DOF, 2025).

Table 2-4. Los Angeles County Projected Population, 2025 to 2050

Projected Population	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Population	9,853,842	9,867,515	9,840,458	9,775,762	9,684,285	9,554,157
Average Annual % Increase	(0.32%)	0.03%	(0.05%)	(0.13%)	(0.19%)	(0.27%)
Annual Average Population Change, people per year	(32,000)	3,000	(5,000)	(13,000)	(18,000)	(26,000)

To project population for the TVMWD service area, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) demographics and growth forecast for the 2024 Connect SoCal Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) were used (SCAG, 2024). These projections include estimated population, households, and employment in 2019, 2035, and 2050 inside each of the approximately 13,062 traffic analysis zones (TAZs) that cover the SCAG region. SCAG publishes data per jurisdiction and TAZ as GIS shapefiles. This data is intersected with supplier service areas to provide an estimate of population within service areas for 2019, 2035, and 2050. SCAG prepares demographic forecasts based on land use data for their region and outside sources such as the 2020 Census and Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). SCAG incorporates essential land use planning information to reflect anticipated future populations and land uses.

In 2022, SCAG launched the Local Data Exchange (LDX), a tool where jurisdictions can directly input their data. This change has increased data input, participation, and validation for the 2019, 2035, and 2050 projections. SCAG’s projections undergo extensive local review, incorporating zoning information from city and county general plans, input from local planners and jurisdictions, and coordination with local or regional land use authorities.

SCAG’s 2024 population growth projections have declined from the last Connect SoCal RTP in 2020, due to ongoing economic impacts of COVID-19, pandemic-related death increases, increasingly negative net domestic migration, decline in births, and near-zero level of foreign immigration. SCAG’s 2024 update uses a 2019 base year and incorporates a broader data range from 2016 to 2022, capturing key post-COVID shifts in population, employment, and household trends.

Figure 2-3 Table 2-5 provides a comparison of population projections from the 2020 and 2024 Connect SoCal RTP. While 2024 SCAG projects slower growth, the result still shows a minor increase in population within the TVMWD service area, which is estimated to grow by about 30,000 people by 2050 from a 2020 Census value of 515,688. **Table 2-5** shows 2024 Connect SoCal RTP projections along with a percent growth rate from 2030 to 2050. **Table 2-6.** and **Table 2-7** show SCAG projections of households and employment (jobs) for the TVMWD service area, respectively. Near-term employment trends are discussed in more detail in the next section.

Table 2-5. Projected Population for the Region (2030 to 2050)

Projected Population	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Total	532,566	538,918	541,174	543,431	545,688
% Growth Rate		0.24%	0.08%	0.08%	0.08%

Figure 2-3. Connect SoCal RTP Population Projection Comparison




Table 2-6. Projected Household for the TVMWD Service Area (2019 to 2050)

Projected Households	2019	2035	2050
Total	159,913	183,170	188,818
% Growth Rate		0.85%	0.19%

Table 2-7. Projected Employment for the TVMWD Service Area (2019 to 2050)

Projected Jobs	2019	2035	2050
Total	233,679	243,785	245,538
% Growth Rate		0.26%	0.04%

2.3.3. Other Demographic Information

The Region comprises about half of the San Gabriel Valley, on the eastern portion of the Los Angeles County as described in **Section 2.3.1**. Economic conditions in the Region can be reflected by the 2025 San Gabriel Valley Economic Forecast prepared by the San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership and Cal Poly Pomona (SGVEP & Cal Poly Pomona, 2025). Overall, the Region has been experiencing a slowdown in economic growth for 2025, due to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Like most communities in Southern California, the post-pandemic recovery period is still present today.

Currently, the San Gabriel Valley is experiencing long-term economic impacts from wildfires, such as displacement of residents, business disruption, and rebuilding costs. The San Gabriel Valley was affected by multiple wildfires in January of 2025, burning over 38,000 acres of Los Angeles County. A high demand of housing and rental increases have left residents to be displaced or migrate out of the San Gabriel Valley. The forecast indicates that most rebuilding activity is expected to occur over multiple years rather than in the near term. The San Gabriel Valley is expected to continue experiencing the economic effects of the wildfires over the coming years.

In developing the economic forecast, the San Gabriel Valley considered three major factors observed over the past year: wildfires, tariffs, and federal layoffs. Without these factors, total nonfarm employment was expected to grow steadily by approximately 0.4 percent, with job gains concentrated in the healthcare, transportation, and warehousing sectors. When these impacts are incorporated, however, 2025 is projected to result in a loss of approximately 6,500 nonfarm jobs, representing a 0.9 percent decline across the San Gabriel Valley. Manufacturing has been the sector most affected, with an estimated 2,900 jobs lost, accounting for roughly 5.7 percent of the sector's workforce. Looking ahead, total nonfarm employment is projected to rebound by approximately 4,800 jobs (0.7 percent) by 2027, relative to 2025 levels.

Disadvantaged Communities

In accordance with DWR guidance, a community is classified as a disadvantaged community (DAC) if its median household income is below 80 percent of the statewide Median Household Income (MHI) for California. The current dataset used by DWR in the DAC Mapping Tool is the U.S. Census American Community Survey 5-Year data for the 2018–2023 period (U.S. Census Bureau, 2024). Based on this dataset, the statewide MHI is \$63,783, resulting in a DAC income threshold of \$77,067.

A substantial number of census tracts within the Region are identified as DACs. The largest concentration of DACs is located in the eastern portion of the Region in the City of Pomona to the western portion in the Cities of Walnut and Rowland Heights, with additional DAC areas present in portions of the City of La Verne. Smaller, dispersed DAC areas are also located throughout the Region.

Many DAC residents within the Region are served by public water systems and receive water supplies that meet all applicable state and federal drinking water quality standards from the water agencies serving their communities. While water quality standards are met, affordability remains a challenge in some DAC areas. Communities with the highest concentrations of DAC residents have implemented assistance programs to help households manage water-related costs while continuing to ensure that water and wastewater services comply with all state and federal regulatory requirements.

2.4. Land Uses

Land use patterns within the Region are a primary driver of water demand, particularly regarding outdoor irrigation needs. As described in **Section 2.1**, the Region covers approximately 133 square miles characterized by a diverse mix of developed urban landscapes and natural foothill terrain.

The valley floors (Pomona, Walnut, and San Gabriel Valleys) are largely built-out and urbanized. The predominant land use in these areas is residential, consisting of a mix of low-density single-family homes (which historically drive higher per capita water use due to landscaping) and medium-to-high density multi-family developments. Current land use trends focus on redevelopment, "in-fill" projects, and densification (such as the addition of Accessory Dwelling Units). The northern boundary of the Region, defined by the foothills of the San Gabriel Mountains, remains largely comprised of protected open space and low-density residential interface zones. The Region also supports significant commercial and light industrial corridors, particularly along Interstates 10 and 210 and State Route 57.

A unique characteristic of the Region is the presence of major institutional land uses. The area is home to several large educational institutions, including California State Polytechnic University, Pomona (Cal Poly Pomona), Mt. San Antonio College (Mt. SAC), and the Claremont Colleges. These campuses represent distinct water use profiles, often managing large, landscaped areas, independent water infrastructure systems, and substantial demand shifts that correspond with instructional sessions and breaks.

Planning Coordination

While TVMWD and its Participating Agencies manage water supplies, most of them do not have land use authority. The authority to approve General Plans, Specific Plans, and zoning designations lies with the local municipalities (e.g., City of Pomona, City of Glendora) and Los Angeles County.

However, the California Government Code requires coordination between land use and water planning. The Participating Agencies generally voluntarily comply with local building codes and standards. Furthermore, the demand projections utilized in this Plan (and discussed in **Section 4**) rely on growth forecasts from the SCAG, which are derived from the local land use plans of the cities and counties within the Region (SCAG, 2024). SCAG conducts coordination with land use agencies as part of its regional forecast cycles. **Figure 2-4** and **Table 2-8** show the land uses within the Three Valley Region.

Figure 2-4. Regional Land Use Map




Table 2-8. Approximate Regional Land Use Distribution

Land Use Category	Description	Approximate % of Service Area
Single-Family Residential	Detached homes, typically with outdoor landscaping	38%
Multi-Family Residential	Apartments, condos, townhomes	5%
Commercial / Industrial	Retail, office parks, manufacturing, logistics	16%
Institutional	Schools, universities, hospitals, government facilities	6%
Open Space / Vacant	Foothills, parks, spreading grounds, undeveloped land	35%
Agriculture	Remnant agricultural parcels (e.g., Cal Poly campus)	<1%

2.5. Regional Climate

The climate within the Region is characterized as "Mediterranean," consisting of hot, dry summers and mild, wet winters. This seasonal pattern is the primary driver of the Region's water demand profile: water use typically peaks in the summer months (July through September) due to high outdoor irrigation demands and drops in the winter months (December through March) when rainfall is more prevalent and evaporation rates are lower.

The Region's local hydrology is heavily influenced by the San Gabriel Mountains to the north. These mountains act as a barrier to storm systems, creating an orographic effect that results in higher precipitation totals in the foothills compared to the valley floor. Surface water supplies from the San Gabriel River and San Antonio Creek account for approximately 2 percent of the Region's water supply portfolio and are directly dependent on this mountain precipitation and snowpack. Recycled water, which is largely independent of local precipitation, accounts for an additional 4 percent of the regional supply.

2.5.1. Current Regional Climate

The Region has extensive historical climate records used for planning. For evaluating historical hydrologic extremes and long-term variability, the Glendora West Station gauge serves as a key benchmark, with records dating back to 1883². Historical analysis of this station indicates significant variability in the Region, with annual rainfall ranging from a low of 7.67 inches (1961) to a high of 46.55 inches (1978).

While the Glendora West Station provides an excellent centennial record for precipitation, it does not capture the full suite of climatological data necessary for modern demand modeling. Therefore, to establish the current baseline for average monthly temperatures, precipitation, and reference evapotranspiration (ET_o), the Region utilizes data from the California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) Station 78, located in Pomona (DWR, 2026b). **Table 2-9** summarizes these baseline climate characteristics for the Region for the last 35 years (1990-2025). This specific timeframe

² <https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca7050>

was selected because it represents the full period of continuous, reliable data available for CIMIS Station 78.

Table 2-9. Regional Climate Characteristics (Jul 1990 – Sep 2025)

Month	Average Temperature (°F)	Average Minimum Temperature (°F)	Average Maximum Temperature (°F)	Average Total Precipitation (Inches)	ETo (Inches)
January	53.6	42.3	67.3	3.1	1.99
February	54.3	43.0	67.2	3.4	2.37
March	56.7	45.1	69.7	2.3	3.73
April	59.7	47.4	73.1	1.0	4.74
May	63.3	52.4	76.0	0.4	5.20
June	68.2	56.8	82.0	0.2	5.96
July	73.0	60.7	87.8	0.2	6.74
August	74.0	60.9	89.7	0.3	6.59
September	71.5	58.9	87.0	0.5	4.99
October	65.3	53.1	80.3	0.8	1.94
November	58.2	46.0	73.4	1.1	2.39
December	52.5	41.3	66.7	2.5	1.77
Annual	62.5	50.6	76.7	15.9	48.41

Source: California Department of Water Resources, CIMIS Station 78 (Pomona) (DWR, 2026b)

As shown in the table, the Region's climate creates a significant structural imbalance between natural water supply and outdoor water demand. Annual ETo (48.41 inches) is more than three times the average annual precipitation (15.9 inches). This significant deficit necessitates the application of supplemental irrigation to maintain landscaping and agriculture throughout the majority of the year. Precipitation is highly concentrated, with approximately 71 percent of the average annual rainfall (11.3 inches) occurring in a four-month window between December and March. Conversely, the summer months (June through September) experience negligible precipitation (less than 1 inch combined) while accounting for the highest ETo rates, peaking at 6.74 inches in July.

2.5.2. Potential Effects of Climate Change

Climate change adds a layer of uncertainty to the Region's future water supply reliability. As part of development of TVMWD's 2025 Water Resources Master Plan (WRMP) (TVMWD, 2025) (included in **Part 3, Appendix H**), TVMWD completed a Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment (Climate Assessment) in July 2024 (TVMWD, 2024a) (the Climate Assessment is included in **Part 3, Appendix I**). The Climate Assessment utilized data from DWR and 20 global climate models to project impacts on the Region's water supplies and demands through 2045.

The assessment evaluated three potential future scenarios: (1) Drier with Extreme Warming ("Dry Hot"), (2) Median Future Conditions, and (3) Wetter with Moderate Warming ("Wet Warm"). Key climate change impacts identified in the standalone 2024 Climate Assessment include:

- **Increased Temperatures and Outdoor Demand:** Regional increases in average maximum temperatures are projected to reach approximately 4°F to 5°F by the mid-21st century. This warming trend is expected to increase evapotranspiration (ET) rates for landscaping and crops. Under the "Dry Hot" scenario, average annual outdoor water demand in the Region is projected to increase by approximately 5.8 percent by 2045. When combined with population growth, total water demand in the service area could increase by up to 15 percent under this scenario compared to baseline conditions.
- **Hydrologic Volatility and Shorter Rainy Seasons:** While the total volume of annual precipitation may not decrease drastically (projected decrease of 6.5 percent under Dry Hot conditions), the timing of rainfall is expected to shift. The Region is projected to experience a shorter rainy season with higher intensity precipitation events. This volatility poses a challenge for local spreading grounds, as storm flows may occur in peaks that exceed the immediate capacity of diversion facilities, potentially reducing the volume of water successfully captured for groundwater recharge.
- **Reduced Local Surface Water:** Under the "Dry Hot" scenario, flows in the San Gabriel River are projected to decrease by approximately 10 percent by 2045. This reduction directly impacts the availability of local surface water for treatment and groundwater replenishment.
- **Increased Reliance on Imported Water:** Due to the projected stabilization or slight decrease in local supplies (groundwater and surface water) combined with the climate-driven increase in demand, the Region's reliance on imported water from MWD is projected to increase. The Climate Assessment indicates that imported water supply requirements could increase by approximately 15,400 acre-feet per year (AFY) by 2045 under extreme warming conditions.

While the 2024 Climate Assessment established the foundational vulnerabilities summarized above (using modeled theoretical demands through 2045), TVMWD and the Participating Agencies opted to utilize a more rigorous, hybrid analytical approach for the actual supply and demand projections presented in this 2025 RUWMP (which extends to 2050, as detailed in **Chapter 5**).

To ensure operational accuracy through 2050, the climate change factors derived from the Climate Assessment were updated through 2050 and applied directly to scale the future availability of local supplies. Conversely, rather than relying solely on modeled theoretical demand increases, this RUWMP projects future drought demands using empirical stress-test data. As described in **Chapter 5**, the relationship between actual elevated water use during the historical 2018–2022 drought and the 2021–2025 average baseline was used to establish localized drought scaling factors.

By applying these updated Climate Assessment climate factors through 2050 to the supply side and empirical historical scaling factors to the demand side, this RUWMP provides a conservative, operationally grounded assessment of the Region's reliance on imported water through 2050. Because of this shift from 2045 theoretical modeling to 2050 empirical historical scaling, the final volumetric projections in Chapter 5 supersede the preliminary 2045 estimates cited in the Climate Assessment summary above.

3. Regional Water Sources and Management

Securing a reliable water supply is the primary mission of TVMWD and its Participating Agencies. The Region relies on a diversified portfolio of water assets to meet the needs of its residential, commercial, and institutional customers.

Based on the regional baseline established for this Plan (2021–2025), the Region’s water supply portfolio consists of:

- Imported Water (approximately 50%): Primarily water purchased from the MWD originating from the Colorado River and the SWP. This is supplemented by other imported or wholesale purchases from neighboring municipalities and mutual water companies.
- Local Groundwater (approximately 44%): Groundwater extracted from the Main San Gabriel, Chino, Six Basins, Spadra, Central, and Puente basins.
- Recycled Water (approximately 4%): Treated wastewater utilized for non-potable demands.
- Local Surface Water (approximately 2%): Surface flows diverted from the San Gabriel River and San Antonio Creek.

This chapter describes the current and planned water resources available to the Region through 2050. It also details the management frameworks – including legal judgments, Watermasters, and regional agreements – that govern how these resources are extracted, stored, and shared among the agencies.

Regional Water Supply Portfolio Overview

While the percentages above represent the aggregate regional supply, the specific water supply portfolios vary significantly among the individual retail agencies. Because of the Region's diverse geography, hydrogeology, and historical infrastructure development, each agency relies on a unique combination of imported water, groundwater, surface water, and recycled water.

For example, agencies located in the northern foothills may have access to local surface water diversions, while agencies in the southern portion of the Region may rely more heavily on imported water and recycled water. This diversity is a regional strength. However, it also means that each agency is impacted differently by drought, regulatory shifts, or infrastructure constraints.

Table 3-1 provides a high-level overview of the water sources currently utilized by each agency within the TVMWD service area.

Table 3-1. Overview of Water Sources Used by TVMWD Member Agencies

Agency	Groundwater	Imported Water	Surface Water	Recycled Water
Participating Agencies (RUWMP Co-Authors)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
City of Glendora	✓	✓	n/a	n/a
City of La Verne	✓	✓	n/a	n/a
City of Pomona	✓	✓	✓	✓
Golden State Water Company (Claremont)	✓	✓	n/a	n/a
Golden State Water Company (San Dimas)	✓	✓	n/a	n/a
Rowland Water District	✓	✓	n/a	✓
Walnut Valley Water District	✓	✓	n/a	✓
Other TVMWD Agencies	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Boy Scouts of America	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
Cal Poly Pomona	✓	✓	n/a	✓
City of Covina	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
Mt. San Antonio College	n/a	✓	n/a	n/a
Suburban Water Systems	✓	✓	n/a	✓
Covina Valley Water Company Retail (Formally Valencia Heights Water Company)	✓	✓	✓	n/a

Note: Some agencies purchase local surface water or groundwater that has been treated and delivered by third-party mutual water companies, such as the Covina Valley Water Company.
n/a = not applicable.

3.1. Imported Water

Imported water is the single largest source of supply for the Region. While several retail agencies’ supply portfolios include external wholesale purchases (detailed in **Section 3.1.4**), the vast majority of the Region’s imported water is provided by TVMWD. TVMWD is a member agency of MWD, a regional wholesaler that delivers water to 26 member public agencies across Southern California. MWD imports water from two primary sources: the Colorado River via the CRA and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay-Delta via the SWP.

TVMWD purchases both treated and untreated imported water from MWD. Treated water is delivered directly to retail agencies through service connections to the MWD distribution system. Untreated water is delivered to TVMWD’s Miramar Water Treatment Plant for treatment and distribution or used for groundwater replenishment in the Main San Gabriel and Six Basins.

3.1.1. Supply Description and Management

MWD’s primary sources of water are the Colorado River and the SWP. To secure these supplies against drought, regulatory restrictions, and climate change, MWD continues to invest in a diverse resource mix, including storage (such as Diamond Valley Lake), water transfers, and conservation programs.

Colorado River Aqueduct

The CRA transports water 242 miles from Lake Havasu to Lake Mathews in Riverside County. MWD's entitlement to Colorado River water is established by the "Law of the River," a collection of compacts, federal laws, and court decrees. Although MWD's base apportionment is 550,000 AFY, MWD supplements this supply through agricultural conservation programs (such as the agreement with the Palo Verde Irrigation District) and storage programs in Lake Mead.

It is important to note that due to hydraulic constraints in the regional distribution system, several of TVMWD's member agencies are located in "SWP Dependent Areas." These areas (including parts of the cities of Glendora and La Verne) cannot receive Colorado River water and are solely dependent on supplies from the SWP.

State Water Project

The SWP, managed by DWR, transports water from Northern California to Southern California via the California Aqueduct. MWD is the largest contractor of the SWP, with a maximum Table A allocation of 1,911,500 AFY.

Actual SWP deliveries vary annually based on hydrology, reservoir storage in Northern California, and environmental regulations in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. To manage this variability, MWD utilizes its significant storage capacity (surface reservoirs and groundwater banking) to store surplus water during wet years for use during dry years.

TVMWD Access to Imported Water

Historically, TVMWD accessed MWD supplies through a Purchase Order structure with tiered rates. However, MWD recently transitioned to a single volumetric rate structure (MWD 2025, 2026a). Over the most recent five-year baseline period (2021–2025), TVMWD's annual imports have averaged approximately 55,600 AFY. TVMWD continues to coordinate closely with MWD to ensure reliable, cost-effective access to imported supplies.

3.1.2. *Supply Reliability and Climate Impacts*

The amount of water delivered through the SWP and CRA in any given year is highly dependent on statewide hydrology, snowpack, and regulatory constraints (such as pumping restrictions in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay-Delta to protect endangered species). During severe, multi-year droughts, allocations from the SWP have historically dropped as low as 5 percent of contracted amounts (e.g., in 2014 and 2021). Furthermore, the Colorado River Basin has experienced a prolonged two-decade drought, leading to historic shortage declarations by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

MWD Storage and Reliability Mitigation

If TVMWD relied solely on the annual yield of the SWP and CRA, the Region would face severe structural deficits during droughts. However, MWD has invested billions of dollars in a massive regional storage portfolio – including Diamond Valley Lake (DVL), Lake Mathews, Lake Skinner, and extensive Central Valley and Mojave groundwater banking programs.

Entering the 2026 planning cycle, MWD’s storage reservoirs are situated at near-record highs, holding nearly 4 million acre-feet (MAF) of water. As summarized in **Table 3-2**, MWD’s 2025 UWMP reliability modeling (provided in **Part 3, Appendix J**) demonstrates that even with severe cutbacks on SWP and Colorado River supplies, MWD possesses sufficient storage reserves to meet all wholesale member agency demands without triggering mandatory shortage allocations over the next five years, and projects 100 percent supply capability through 2050 under all modeled drought scenarios (MWD, 2026b).

Table 3-2. Projected Availability of TVMWD Wholesale Imported Supplies (from MWD)

Hydrologic Scenario	MWD Base Allocations (SWP and CRA)	MWD Storage Withdrawals (DVL, Groundwater Banks)	TVMWD Projected Imported Reliability
Normal Year	Average to High	Minimal (Net Storage Accumulation)	100% of Demand Met
Single Dry Year	Constrained	High (Storage Drawn Down)	100% of Demand Met
5-Year Drought	Severely Constrained	Maximum (Sustained Storage Drawdown)	100% of Demand Met

Infrastructure Constraints: SWP-Dependent Areas

While MWD’s aggregate supply is highly reliable, TVMWD must navigate localized infrastructure constraints. Due to pipeline elevations and network physics, certain northern portions of the TVMWD service area – specifically serving the City of Glendora and the City of La Verne – are classified as "SWP-Dependent Areas." These zones cannot physically receive treated Colorado River water.

When SWP allocations are severely constrained during extreme droughts, MWD cannot simply substitute CRA water for these agencies. To maintain reliability in these specific zones, TVMWD and the Participating Agencies rely on local groundwater extraction, shifting supplies via the Pomona-Walnut-Rowland Joint Water Line (JWL), and utilizing TVMWD's cyclic storage accounts in the Main San Gabriel and Six Basins to offset the localized imported deficit.

To mitigate these constraints, MWD is actively constructing and planning a suite of infrastructure projects under its Capital Investment Plan designed to physically push stored water into SWP-Dependent Areas during extreme droughts.

For the eastern portion of the MWD’s service area – which directly benefits TVMWD's SWP-dependent agencies like the cities of the Glendora and La Verne – MWD is constructing the Diamond Valley Lake (DVL) to Rialto Pipeline Delivery Project (anticipated completion in late 2028). This project utilizes the Wadsworth Pumping Plant to pump stored water from DVL (which includes both banked SWP and Colorado River water) north into the Rialto Pipeline, reducing the area's strict reliance on real-time California Aqueduct flows and enhancing drought reliability.

Additionally, MWD’s 2025 UWMP identifies additional regional projects to provide relief to SWP-Dependent Areas across Southern California. These include west-side distribution upgrades – such as the Sepulveda Feeder Pumping Station and Greg Avenue Pump Station expansions – which reverse flow to push treated water into areas normally reliant on the West Branch of the SWP. Regionally, MWD is also advancing Pure Water Southern California, a massive, advanced water purification project that could produce up to 150 million gallons per day (MGD) of new supply. MWD is planning over 50 miles of large-

diameter pipeline from Carson to La Verne to provide raw water augmentation directly into the Weymouth Water Treatment Plant, which would further reduce the Region's demand for imported SWP supplies and increase reliability for TVMWD.

MWD Supply Reliability Modeling

As the regional wholesaler, MWD is responsible for projecting the reliability of imported water supplies for its member agencies. In its 2025 Draft UWMP, MWD assessed its ability to meet demands under normal, single-dry, and five-year consecutive drought conditions through the year 2050 (MWD, 2026b).

MWD's analysis incorporates DWR's Delivery Capability Report (DCR) CalSim models (DWR, 2025) and accounts for potential future constraints on the Colorado River. MWD's modeling demonstrates that, through the implementation of its Integrated Resources Plan, which includes active conservation, local resource development, and storage management, it has sufficient supply capabilities to meet all projected member agency supplemental water demands through 2050, even under a repeat of historic drought conditions.

Based on MWD's reliability assessment, TVMWD projects that imported water will be 100 percent reliable to meet the projected demands of its Participating Agencies through the planning horizon of this RUWMP.

3.1.3. Imported Water Quality

MWD is responsible for managing the water quality of the SWP and CRA and providing treated and untreated water that meets or exceeds all regulatory standards. The water quality of the SWP and CRA differ significantly, and the proportion of each source delivered to TVMWD fluctuates based on hydrology, MWD operational decisions, and drought conditions.

State Water Project Quality

Water imported via the SWP originates in the Sierra Nevada and flows through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay-Delta. SWP water is generally characterized by lower salinity, with Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) typically ranging from 250 to 350 milligrams per liter (mg/L). However, as water flows through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay-Delta, it picks up organic carbon and bromide. When treated with chlorine, these natural constituents can react to form Disinfection Byproducts (DBPs), which are strictly regulated by the EPA. SWP supplies are also susceptible to seasonal algal blooms, which can cause harmless but noticeable taste and odor issues, as well as suspended solids from winter storm runoff.

Colorado River Aqueduct Quality

Water imported via the CRA generally contains higher mineral content and salinity. TDS levels in CRA water are typically twice as high as SWP water, averaging between 580 mg/L and 620 mg/L, and can spike up to 700 mg/L or higher during prolonged droughts in the Colorado River Basin. In addition to higher hardness and salinity, the Colorado River watershed has historically faced localized challenges with perchlorate, uranium, and chromium-6, though MWD actively manages and monitors these constituents to ensure they remain well below regulatory limits prior to delivery. The CRA system also

requires aggressive management to control the spread of invasive Quagga mussels, which can clog intake and delivery infrastructure.

Salinity Management and Blending Strategies

Salinity is a critical water quality metric for the Region. High TDS in imported water not only affects the aesthetic quality (hardness) of drinking water but also has cascading impacts on the Region's local resources. When high-TDS imported water is used indoors, it increases the salinity of the wastewater sent to local reclamation plants. This, in turn, increases the salinity of the recycled water produced, which can limit its use for landscape irrigation and groundwater recharge due to strict Regional Water Quality Control Board Basin Plan objectives.

To mitigate this, MWD attempts to blend CRA water with lower-salinity SWP water to achieve a target TDS of approximately 500 mg/L for its treated water deliveries. However, during severe drought years when SWP allocations are drastically reduced, MWD must rely more heavily on the CRA. During these periods, TVMWD and its retail agencies experience higher salinity in their imported supplies.

Local Treatment and Operations

While MWD delivers some pre-treated water directly to TVMWD's retail agencies for potable use, TVMWD also purchases raw, untreated imported water. TVMWD is responsible for treating this raw water at the Miramar Water Treatment Plant (MWTP) located in Claremont. The MWTP utilizes conventional filtration and disinfection processes to meet all Division of Drinking Water (DDW) standards before distributing the water to member agencies. The MWTP has a design capacity of 25 MGD and is undergoing continuous modernization, including planned upgrades to incorporate Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) into its filter basins to proactively address contaminants of emerging concern.

Additionally, untreated imported water is delivered to the Covina Valley Water Company (CVWC), which treats the water at its William B. Temple Treatment Plant prior to supplying it to local agencies in the western portion of the Region. Both TVMWD and CVWC must dynamically adjust their treatment plant operations to handle the shifting water quality profiles of MWD's blend of SWP and CRA water.

3.1.4. Other Imported and Purchased Water Supplies

While TVMWD/MWD supplies constitute the vast majority of imported water in the Region, several retail agencies rely on external imports, wholesale purchases, and inter-agency transfers to meet their localized demands. To accurately capture the Region's total water portfolio in the supply and demand assessments (**Section 5**), these distinct supplies are categorized collectively as "Imported Water (Other)."


This category encompasses water secured outside of the TVMWD wholesale network. This includes wholesale purchases of treated surface water and groundwater from mutual water companies (such as the Covina Valley Water Company), purchases from neighboring municipalities (such as the City of Upland), and other external basin transfers utilized by agencies like Suburban Water Systems to serve their respective customers.

3.2. Groundwater

Groundwater is a foundational component of the Region’s water supply portfolio, accounting for approximately 44 percent of the baseline water use (2021–2025 average). The Participating Agencies rely on local groundwater to meet baseload demands and to buffer against fluctuations in imported water availability during dry years.

The Region overlies a complex network of groundwater basins, each with distinct hydrogeological characteristics, recharge capabilities, and legal frameworks. The local groundwater supply utilized by the agencies within the TVMWD service area is primarily drawn from four basins that underlie the Region: the Main San Gabriel Basin, the Chino Basin, the Six Basins, and the Spadra Basin. Additionally, agencies and joint powers authorities operating within the TVMWD service area hold adjudicated groundwater rights in two supplemental basins – the Central Basin and the Puente Basin – which are hydrologically connected to the Region's primary basins but lie partially or wholly south of the TVMWD service area boundary. **Figure 3-1** summarizes the groundwater basins in the Region.

Figure 3-1. TVMWD Regional Groundwater Basins



Groundwater extraction within the Region is highly regulated. The Main San Gabriel, Chino, Six Basins, Central, and Puente Basins are adjudicated, meaning that groundwater rights, safe yields, and recharge obligations are governed by court judgments and managed by court-appointed Watermasters or administrative bodies. Because they are adjudicated, these basins are exempt from the requirement to develop a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). The Spadra Basin is the only non-adjudicated basin utilized by the Region and is managed locally in compliance with SGMA.

While TVMWD acts primarily as a wholesale importer and only directly produces a small amount of groundwater from the Six Basins, it plays a vital role in regional groundwater sustainability. TVMWD delivers untreated imported water to replenish these local aquifers and maintains significant cyclic storage accounts to ensure water is available during extended droughts.

The physical characteristics, management structures, TVMWD's and the Participating Agencies' specific involvement in each of these basins are detailed in the following subsections.

3.2.1. *Main San Gabriel Basin*

The Main San Gabriel Basin (DWR Basin No. 4-013) is the primary groundwater basin of the Region's local supply, providing approximately 64 percent of the total regional groundwater utilized by agencies within the TVMWD service area. Within the context of this RUWMP, it primarily serves the western portion of the Region, including the City of Glendora, Golden State Water Company (San Dimas), and several Other Member Agencies such as Suburban Water Systems and the City of Covina.

Physical Characteristics and Hydrogeology

The Main San Gabriel Basin lies in eastern Los Angeles County and encompasses a surface area of approximately 167 square miles. The basin is bounded by the San Gabriel Mountains to the north, the Raymond fault to the northwest, the Repetto, Monterey, and Puente Hills to the south and southwest, and the San Jose fault to the east.

The basin's water-bearing formations consist of highly permeable alluvial deposits of sand, gravel, and silt. The basin is primarily drained by the San Gabriel River and the Rio Hondo. Dominant recharge to the sub-basin comes from the percolation of precipitation, infiltration of runoff from the San Gabriel Mountains, and the artificial recharge of imported water and recycled water at spreading grounds operated by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works. Because of the basin's high permeability, it responds rapidly to both extraction and recharge events, making it an ideal basin for large-scale conjunctive use and water banking. **Table 3-3** summarizes the aggregated historical groundwater production from the Main San Gabriel Basin by retail agencies within the TVMWD service area over the 2021–2025 baseline period.

Table 3-3. Historical Groundwater Production from the Main San Gabriel Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)

Water Source / Agency	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Average
Participating Agencies	12,483	11,055	9,022	9,951	12,645	11,214
Other TVMWD Agencies*	29,120	28,961	28,568	29,005	28,940	28,919
Regional Total	41,603	40,016	37,590	38,956	41,046	40,133

*Note: To accurately reflect the total regional reliance on the Main San Gabriel Basin, this table incorporates estimated groundwater production for Other Member Agencies within the TVMWD service area. Because these agencies are not formal signatories to this 2025 RUWMP, their historical production volumes were estimated utilizing the best available public data, including 2020 UWMPs and recent Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster Annual Reports.

Adjudication and Basin Management

The Main San Gabriel Basin was adjudicated in 1973 (Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD v. City of Alhambra). The Judgment (included in **Part 3, Appendix K**) established the Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster to manage the basin and defined the prescriptive pumping rights of the overlying producers.

Instead of a fixed safe yield, the Watermaster manages the basin through an Operating Safe Yield (OSY), which is determined annually based on groundwater elevations, rainfall, and anticipated imported water availability. Historical OSYs for the Main San Gabriel Basin are shown in **Table 3-4**.

Table 3-4. Historical and Projected Operating Safe Yield of the Main San Gabriel Basin

Fiscal Year(s)	Operating Safe Yield (AF)
2010-2011	170,000
2012	210,000
2013	200,000
2014	180,000
2015-2024	150,000
2025-2026	160,000
2027-2030*	140,000

*Note: Projected OSYs are from *Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster Report on Final Determination of Operating Safe Yield for 2025-26 Through 2029-30* (Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster, 2025)

Pumping rights for each producer are calculated as a percentage of the OSY. However, extractions are not limited to a producer's pumping rights. If a producer extracts water in excess of their right, they incur a "Replacement Water" assessment. The Watermaster uses these assessments to purchase untreated imported water to recharge the basin, thereby ensuring long-term sustainability and preventing overdraft.

Additionally, the Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster annually adopts a Five-Year Water Quality and Supply Plan (included in **Part 3, Appendix K**) to proactively manage the basin's groundwater quality and coordinate the delivery of Replacement Water (Main San Gabriel Watermaster, 2023). Because the Main San Gabriel Basin contains multiple EPA Superfund sites and areas impacted by legacy contaminants

(such as VOCs, PFAS, and nitrates), this Five-Year Water Quality and Supply Plan serves as a critical regulatory and reliability tool. It outlines the strategic funding and deployment of wellhead treatment facilities to prevent the migration of contaminant plumes. By treating contaminated groundwater and aggressively managing recharge, the Watermaster prevents wells from becoming "stranded," thereby safeguarding the basin's OSY and preventing unexpected, emergency spikes in demand for TVMWD's imported water during droughts.

Projected Supply Methodology

Because the OSY is determined annually by the Watermaster based on real-time hydrological conditions, reservoir storage, and groundwater elevations, this RUWMP does not attempt to forecast a firm administrative OSY out to the year 2050. Instead, to ensure this aggregated regional projection is realistic, TVMWD and the Participating Agencies compared the anticipated future groundwater extractions against the recent historical range of the basin's OSY.

Future local groundwater supplies from the Main San Gabriel Basin were developed using a bottom-up, agency-specific approach. For the Participating Agencies, the TVMWD Climate Assessment's groundwater climate factors (discussed in **Section 2.5.2**) for 2030-2050 were applied directly to each agency's individual 2021-2025 actual average pumping baseline (TVMWD, 2024a). For the Other Member Agencies, Main San Gabriel Basin extractions were estimated utilizing the best available public data, as shown in **Table 3-5**. These individual projections were then aggregated to determine the total regional Main San Gabriel Basin supply through 2050.

Based on this bottom-up aggregation, regional extractions from the Main San Gabriel Basin are projected to range from 40,886 AF in 2030, gradually decreasing to 40,102 AF by 2050. Because these projected extractions fall below the Region's historical five-year average (41,046 AFY) during the basin's most restrictive recent historical OSYs (150,000-160,000 AF), this analysis confirms that the projected extractions remain highly plausible and can be sustainably managed through the Watermaster's existing Replacement Water assessment framework.

TVMWD's Role and Storage Programs

As a regional wholesaler, TVMWD is a critical partner in the management of the Main San Gabriel Basin. TVMWD delivers untreated imported water from MWD to the basin's spreading grounds to satisfy the Replacement Water obligations of the pumpers located within TVMWD's service area.

To capture additional imported supplies during wet years when MWD water is abundant, TVMWD participates in a Cyclic Storage Program within the basin. The Cyclic Storage Agreement between MWD, TVMWD, and the Watermaster (included in **Part 3, Appendix K**) allows for the pre-delivery and storage of up to 50,000 AF of imported water. This agreement, originally signed in 1991, was recently amended effective June 2024 to extend the termination date to June 2034. TVMWD had approximately 3,079 AF stored in the basin as of the end of 2025.

Additionally, the Puente Basin Water Agency (PBWA) – a joint powers authority between Rowland Water District and Walnut Valley Water District – maintains a storage and export agreement with the

Main San Gabriel Basin for 30,000 AF (included in **Part 3, Appendix K**). This banking arrangement allows PBWA to purchase surplus imported water or local supplies when available, bank them in the Main San Gabriel Basin, and subsequently extract and export that water to the Rowland and Walnut Valley service areas during dry years or periods of imported supply constraint. This provides a critical local buffer for these two retail agencies, which are historically highly dependent on imported water.

Table 3-5 summarizes the current regional storage agreements and capacities within the Main San Gabriel Basin.

Table 3-5. TVMWD Storage and Export Agreements in the Main San Gabriel Basin

Agreement / Account Type	Managing Entities	Maximum Storage Capacity (AF)	Estimated Stored Balance (End of 2025) (AF)
Cyclic Storage Agreement	TVMWD, MWD, Main Basin Watermaster	50,000	3,079
Storage and Export Agreement	PBWA	30,000	3,000 ¹

1. Balance estimated based on the PBWA Board of Commissioners' October 2024 authorization to purchase 3,000 AF of cyclic storage for the 2025-2029 water years (PBWA, 2024).

3.2.2. *Chino Basin*

The Chino Basin (DWR Basin No. 8-02.01) provides approximately 17 percent of the total regional groundwater utilized by agencies within the TVMWD service area. Within the context of this RUWMP, extraction from the Chino Basin is predominantly utilized by the City of Pomona to serve the eastern portion of the Region.

Physical Characteristics and Hydrogeology

The Chino Basin is a large groundwater basin located in the southwest corner of San Bernardino County and the northwest corner of Riverside County. The basin is bordered by the San Gabriel Mountains to the north, the Rialto-Colton fault to the east, and the Jurupa Mountains and Puente Hills to the south and southwest. The surface area of the Chino Basin is approximately 154,000 acres (or 240 square miles). The San Antonio Creek and Cucamonga Creek drain the Chino Basin area southward and flow into the Santa Ana River. The Chino Basin is a subbasin of the Upper Santa Ana Valley Groundwater Basin and has a total storage capacity of approximately 5,000,000 AF.

The basin consists of highly permeable alluvial deposits. Surface drainage in this portion of the region flows generally southward via San Antonio Creek and Chino Creek toward the Santa Ana River. The principal sources of natural recharge are percolation of precipitation and infiltration of runoff from the San Gabriel Mountains. This is supplemented by significant artificial recharge of imported water and recycled water managed by regional partners.

Adjudication and Basin Management

The Chino Basin was adjudicated in 1978 (Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino et al.) (included in **Part 3, Appendix L**). The Judgment established the Chino Basin Watermaster to account for

and implement the management of the basin, and it contains a physical solution to meet the requirements of all water users.

The Chino Basin Judgment originally established a Safe Yield for the Chino Basin of 140,000 AFY. The Safe Yield is typically recalculated every 10 years and is defined in the Chino Basin Judgment as “the long-term average annual quantity of ground water (excluding replenishment of stored water but including return flow to the Basin from use of replenishment or stored water) which can be produced from the Chino Basin under conditions of a particular year without causing an undesirable result”. Pursuant to the most recent Safe Yield reset effective in 2020, the Safe Yield for the Chino Basin is currently 131,000 AFY (effective from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2030).

In April 2017, a Court Order regarding the Safe Yield of the Chino Basin (San Bernardino County Superior Court, 2017) was issued, which caused the Safe Yield to be recalculated in 2025. The 2017 Safe Yield Court Order also required changes to the Safe Yield Reset methodology. The methodology was updated in 2022, and the 2025 Safe Yield Reset process began in 2023. The 2025 Safe Yield Reset is not yet final, so for purposes of this UWMP, the Safe Yield for the Chino Basin is based on the currently effective value of 131,000 AFY. Additional information on the 2025 Safe Yield Reset is available on the Chino Basin Watermaster website (<https://www.cbwm.org/pages/syrm/>).

The Chino Basin Judgment’s allocation of the Safe Yield includes three separate pools:

1. Overlying Agricultural Pool (farmers, State of California, and other minimal producers)
2. Overlying Non-Agricultural Pool (businesses and industries)
3. Appropriative Pool (cities, agencies, and other water suppliers, including Ontario)

As of July 2020, the Safe Yield is allocated among these three pools at 82,800 AFY to the Overlying Agricultural Pool, 7,366 AFY to the Overlying Non-Agricultural Pool, and 40,834 AFY to the Appropriative Pool.

Appropriators who are Parties to the Chino Basin Judgment are authorized to produce groundwater in excess of their rights. For any groundwater produced in excess of their rights, Appropriators pay assessments to the Chino Basin Watermaster, which are used to purchase water to replenish the Chino Basin. The Chino Basin Watermaster purchases water from MWD through IEUA and/or TVMWD, on behalf of the Parties, to replenish the Chino Basin. Occasionally, Watermaster has purchased water from storage accounts from parties within the Chino Basin.

In addition to the water rights described above, the Judgment provides for additional provisions to allocate the Chino Basin water as described below:

Land Use Conversion

When land is converted from agricultural to urban use, water rights are permanently transferred from the Overlying Agricultural Pool to the Appropriative Pool. Land use conversions assign the increase in Appropriative Pool rights to the party whose sphere of influence includes the converted land.

Annual Unused Overlying Agricultural Pool Rights

The Chino Basin Watermaster also reallocates the unused portion of the Chino Basin Safe Yield from the Overlying Agricultural Pool to the Appropriative Pool members' rights in any year. These transfers are permanent if agricultural land has been converted to non-agricultural use (described above), or temporary if agricultural pool extractions are less than their share of the Safe Yield. From FY20/21 to FY24/25, 9,000 AFY of rights have been reallocated from the Overlying Agricultural pool to the Appropriative Pool that were not claimed as part of a permanent land conversion.

Today, the basin is comprehensively managed through the implementation of the Optimum Basin Management Program (OBMP) (included in **Part 3, Appendix L**), which was originally developed in 2000 and updated in 2020 (Chino Basin Watermaster, 2020). The OBMP functions similarly to a GSP under SGMA, featuring robust monitoring, subsidence management, and coordinated recharge programs to ensure long-term sustainability.

Chino Basin Storage Management Plan

The Peace Agreement (2000) (included in **Part 3, Appendix L**) establishes rules and regulations, standard storage agreements, and related forms for storage in the Chino Basin. Since 2000, Chino Basin Watermaster administers groundwater storage in the Chino Basin pursuant to the storage management plan described in the 2000 OBMP.

There are five types of storage accounts: Excess Carryover, Local Supplemental-Recycled, Local Supplemental-Imported, Pre-2000 Quantified Supplemental, and Storage and Recovery.

- Excess Carryover Account – includes a Party's unproduced rights in the Safe Yield (Safe Yield for Overlying Non-Agricultural Pool Parties and OSY for Appropriative Pool Parties) and Basin Water purchased or transferred from other Parties.
- Local Supplemental-Recycled Account– includes recycled water that is recharged by a producer and similar water acquired from other Parties.
- Local Supplemental-Imported Account– includes imported water that is recharged by a producer and similar water acquired from other Parties.
- Pre-2000 Quantified Supplemental Account – functions similar to Local Supplemental Water Account.
- Storage and Recovery Account – holds Supplemental Water (imported or recycled) and is intended to provide a broad and mutual benefit to the Parties of the Judgment.

Dry Year Yield Program

MWD's Dry-Year Yield Program (DYYP) is the only active Storage and Recovery Program in Chino Basin. This program is a water exchange as discussed in **Section 3.7.1**.

Table 3-6 summarizes the aggregated historical groundwater production from the Chino Basin by retail agencies within the TVMWD service area over the 2021–2025 baseline period.

Table 3-6. Historical Groundwater Production from the Chino Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)

Water Source / Agency	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Average
Participating Agencies	10,255	11,222	11,111	11,440	10,734	10,952
Other TVMWD Agencies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regional Total	10,255	11,222	11,111	11,440	10,734	10,952

Projected Supply Methodology

Utilizing the same bottom-up, climate-scaled methodology described for the Main San Gabriel Basin (see **Section 3.2.1**), future local groundwater supplies from the Chino Basin were projected through 2050. This approach ensures regional consistency while aligning with the management criteria established by the Chino Basin Watermaster.

Based on this regional aggregation, extractions from the Chino Basin by agencies within the TVMWD service area are projected to range from 11,053 AF in 2030, gradually decreasing to 10,204 AF by 2050. While the near-term projected extraction (11,053 AF) represents a slight increase over the 2021–2025 historical baseline average (10,952 AFY), these extractions remain consistent with the agencies’ historically exercised rights within the basin’s Appropriative Pool. As described above, because the Chino Basin is strictly adjudicated, all extractions – by TVMWD agencies and the numerous other overlying and appropriative purveyors sharing the basin’s 131,000 AF Operating Safe Yield – are comprehensively tracked by the Watermaster. Any pumping that exceeds an individual agency’s specific allocated share is mitigated through mandatory replenishment assessments used to purchase imported recharge water. Therefore, this analysis confirms that the projected extractions by TVMWD agencies are plausible and can be sustainably managed within the Optimum Basin Management Program (OBMP) framework.

TVMWD's Role and Storage Programs

Because the Chino Basin largely falls outside of TVMWD’s primary wholesale service boundaries, TVMWD’s interaction with the basin is facilitated through partnerships with its member agencies, primarily the City of Pomona (included in **Part 3, Appendix L**). Currently, TVMWD holds a storage account of 1,390 AF in the Chino Basin through a one-time agreement with the City of Pomona.

Table 3-7 summarizes TVMWD's current storage capacity within the Chino Basin.

Table 3-7. TVMWD Storage Agreements in the Chino Basin

Agreement / Account Type	Managing Entities	Maximum Storage Capacity (AF)	Estimated Stored Balance (End of 2025) (AF)
One-Time Storage Agreement	TVMWD, City of Pomona	1,390	1,390

3.2.3. Six Basins

The Six Basins area provides approximately 13 percent of the total regional groundwater utilized by agencies within the TVMWD service area. Within the context of this RUWMP, it is a vital local supply

source for the City of Pomona, the City of La Verne, Golden State Water Company (Claremont system), and uniquely, TVMWD itself, which directly extracts groundwater from this basin to supplement its wholesale portfolio.

Physical Characteristics and Hydrogeology

Located along the base of the San Gabriel Mountains, the Six Basins area overlies a portion of the eastern San Gabriel Valley in Los Angeles County and western San Bernardino County. The area is bounded by the San Gabriel Mountains to the north, the San Jose Hills to the south, the Main San Gabriel Basin to the west, and the Chino Basin to the east.

As the name implies, the area consists of six interconnected groundwater sub-basins: Canyon Basin, Upper Claremont Heights Basin, Lower Claremont Heights Basin, Pomona Basin, Live Oak Basin, and Ganesha Basin. The primary source of natural groundwater replenishment is surface-water runoff from precipitation falling on the San Gabriel Mountains, which infiltrates through highly permeable sediments, predominantly at the San Antonio Spreading Grounds. **Table 3-8** summarizes the aggregated historical groundwater production from the Six Basins by retail agencies within the TVMWD service area over the 2021–2025 baseline period.

Table 3-8. Historical Groundwater Production from the Six Basins by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)

Water Source / Agency	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Average
Participating Agencies	9,581	8,728	6,682	7,629	9,597	8,444
Other TVMWD Agencies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regional Total	9,581	8,728	6,682	7,629	9,597	8,444

Adjudication and Basin Management

The pumping and storage rights for the Six Basins were adjudicated in 1998 (Southern California Water Company vs. City of La Verne, et al., Case No. KC029152). The Judgment (included in **Part 3, Appendix M**) established the Six Basins Watermaster to oversee the physical solution and coordinated management of the interconnected basins.

Pursuant to the Judgment, the statutory safe yield of the Six Basins is 19,300 AFY, defined as the amount of water that can be produced by the parties on an annual basis to ensure long-term, sustainable groundwater production. For management purposes, the Judgment divides the Six Basins into two distinct operational areas. The production, replenishment, and recovery of the Live Oak and Ganesha Basins (collectively referred to as the "Two Basins") are reserved solely for the City of La Verne and are not subject to groundwater production limitations, provided that La Verne's production does not substantially injure the rights of any other Six Basin parties.

Conversely, the Canyon, Upper Claremont Heights, Lower Claremont Heights, and Pomona Basins (collectively referred to as the "Four Basins") are managed using an OSY rather than a static safe yield. The Watermaster annually determines the OSY based on current groundwater elevations, precipitation, and recharge capabilities to ensure the parties can reliably pump their respective rights while preventing

overdraft and mitigating the risk of high groundwater damaging shallow infrastructure during wet periods. **Table 3-9** summarizes the recent OSY determinations for the Four Basins.

Table 3-9. Recent Operating Safe Yields (Four Basins)

Calendar Year	Operating Safe Yield (AF)
2011-2013	17,500
2014	16,500
2015-2016	16,000
2017	14,000
2018	13,500
2019-2023	13,000
2024	13,500
2025	14,000

Pumping rights for each producer in the Four Basins are allocated based on the annual OSY. To provide operational flexibility, the Judgment allows producers to carry over a portion of their unused annual pumping rights (up to a maximum of 25% of their share of the OSY) into the following year. Furthermore, extractions are not strictly limited to a producer's base pumping right. If a producer extracts water in excess of their right, that excess amount is either deducted from their following year's water rights or they incur a replacement water assessment. The Watermaster utilizes these assessments to purchase supplemental water (such as untreated imported water from TVMWD) to recharge the basin, thereby ensuring long-term sustainability, providing year-to-year flexibility for the pumpers, and preventing overdraft.

Additionally, the Six Basins Watermaster actively manages the basin's groundwater quality and coordinates recharge efforts through its comprehensive Strategic Plan (included in **Part 3, Appendix M**) and ongoing Watermaster management initiatives. Because portions of the Six Basins face localized water quality challenges (such as nitrate and VOC accumulation, particularly in the Pomona Basin), these management strategies serve as critical regulatory and reliability tools. They guide the strategic funding and deployment of wellhead treatment and blending facilities to prevent the migration of contaminant plumes. By treating contaminated groundwater and aggressively managing stormwater and imported water recharge at facilities like the San Antonio Spreading Grounds, the Watermaster prevents wells from becoming "stranded." This safeguards the basin's OSY and prevents unexpected, emergency spikes in demand for TVMWD's imported water during droughts.

Projected Supply Methodology

Utilizing the same bottom-up, climate-scaled methodology described for the Main San Gabriel Basin (see **Section 3.2.1**), future local groundwater supplies from the Six Basins were projected through 2050. Based on this bottom-up aggregation, regional extractions from the Six Basins are projected to range from 9,355 in 2030, gradually decreasing to 8,811 by 2050. While these projected volumes represent a slight increase over the 2021–2025 historical average (8,438 AFY), they remain conservative and sustainable. The maximum projected extraction of 9,355 AF is less than half of the basin's adjudicated Base Safe Yield (19,300 AF) and remains well below the Watermaster's most restrictive recent drought

OSY (13,000 AF, in 2021). Therefore, this analysis confirms that the projected extractions are plausible and can be sustainably managed within the Watermaster's existing framework.

TVMWD's Role and Storage Programs

TVMWD’s relationship with the Six Basins is unique among the region's groundwater sources because TVMWD acts as both a replenisher and a direct producer. TVMWD owns and operates three active groundwater extraction wells located in the Six Basins. The raw groundwater produced from these wells is conveyed to TVMWD’s MWTP, where it is treated and blended with imported supplies before being distributed to member agencies.

To support dry-year reliability, TVMWD holds a direct operational storage account in the Six Basins with a capacity of 3,500 AF (included in **Part 3, Appendix M**). **Table 3-10** summarizes TVMWD's current storage capacity within the Six Basins. TVMWD actively supports the replenishment of the basin by delivering untreated imported water to the San Antonio Spreading Grounds, occasionally utilizing interconnections like the City of Pomona’s Canon pipeline to maximize surface water capture and recharge.

Table 3-10. TVMWD Storage Agreements in the Six Basins

Agreement / Account Type	Managing Entities	Maximum Storage Capacity (AF)	Estimated Stored Balance (End of 2025) (AF)
Operational Storage Account	TVMWD, Six Basins Watermaster	3,500	~3,500 ¹

1. Based on 2024 Annual Watermaster Report (Six Basins Watermaster, 2025). Final 2025 stored balances are subject to ongoing Six Basins Watermaster reconciliation.

3.2.4. Spadra Basin

While smaller in volume than the other regional basins, the Spadra Basin provides a localized and highly valuable groundwater supply for the southeastern portion of the Region. Because groundwater produced from this basin often requires treatment or blending to comply with SWRCB-DDW drinking water standards, it is currently utilized primarily to meet non-potable overlying demands. Within the context of this RUWMP, extractions from the Spadra Basin are utilized primarily by the WVWD, the City of Pomona, and Cal Poly Pomona.

Physical Characteristics and Hydrogeology

The Spadra Basin is a small sub-basin of the larger San Gabriel Valley Groundwater Basin. It is located within the San Jose Creek valley in eastern Los Angeles County. The basin is distinctly bounded by the San Jose Hills to the north and northwest, and the Puente Hills to the south and southwest.

The basin's hydrogeology consists of alluvial deposits from surrounding highlands, with San Jose Creek serving as the primary surface drainage feature flowing westward toward the San Gabriel River. Natural recharge occurs primarily through the percolation of precipitation and surface water runoff from the San Jose Hills and Puente Hills, as well as infiltration from San Jose Creek.

SGMA Compliance and Basin Management

Unlike the Main San Gabriel, Chino, and Six Basins, the Spadra Basin is not adjudicated. Therefore, it is subject to the requirements of SGMA.

DWR has designated the Spadra Basin as a "very low-priority" basin. Under SGMA, very low-priority basins are not legally required to form a Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) or adopt a GSP. However, recognizing the strategic importance of this local supply, WVWD and the City of Pomona proactively entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (included in **Part 3, Appendix N**) to collectively form the Spadra Basin GSA. The GSA has developed a GSP (included in **Part 3, Appendix N**) to comprehensively manage the basin, monitor water quality, and ensure sustainable extractions that prevent chronic lowering of groundwater levels.

Instead of an OSY determined by a Watermaster, the GSP establishes a long-term "Sustainable Yield" for the basin, which is currently estimated at approximately 1,050 AFY (Spadra Basin GSA, 2022). To ensure extractions remain within sustainable limits, the GSP defines specific Sustainable Management Criteria, including Measurable Objectives (MOs) and Minimum Thresholds for groundwater elevations.

Sustainable management practices actively implemented by the GSA include:

- **Comprehensive Monitoring:** Regular tracking of groundwater levels and water quality to ensure the basin operates within its established MOs and avoids "Undesirable Results" (such as the chronic lowering of groundwater levels or degraded water quality).
- **Recharge Optimization:** As detailed in **Section 3.9**, the GSA agencies are actively pursuing the Spadra Basin Optimization Project. By utilizing advanced treated recycled water for underground injection, the GSA aims to physically bolster the basin's Sustainable Yield and increase local extraction capacity without causing overdraft.
- **Water Quality Management:** Collaborating with basin producers (such as Cal Poly Pomona) to implement wellhead treatment for localized VOCs, ensuring existing wells remain operational and do not become stranded assets.

Table 3-11 summarizes the aggregated historical groundwater production from the Spadra Basin by agencies within the TVMWD service area over the 2021–2025 baseline period.

Table 3-11. Historical Groundwater Production from the Spadra Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)

Water Source /Agency	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Average
Participating Agencies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other TVMWD Agencies*	704	704	704	704	704	704
Regional Total	704	704	704	704	704	704

*Note: To accurately reflect the total regional reliance on the Spadra Basin, this table incorporates estimated groundwater production for Other Member Agencies within the TVMWD service area. Because these agencies are not formal signatories to this 2025 RUWMP, their historical production volumes were estimated utilizing the best available public data, including related environmental documentation and the Spadra Basin GSP.

Projected Supply Methodology

Utilizing the same bottom-up, climate-scaled methodology described for the Main San Gabriel Basin (see **Section 3.2.1**), future local groundwater supplies from the Spadra Basin were projected through 2050. This approach ensures regional consistency while adhering to the management criteria established in the Spadra Basin GSP.

Based on this regional aggregation, extractions from the Spadra Basin are projected to remain consistent at 856 AF in 2030 through 2050. Because these projected volumes align closely with the 2021–2025 historical average (704 AFY) and remain below the basin's estimated Sustainable Yield (approximately 1,050 AFY), this analysis confirms that the projected extractions are plausible and can be sustainably managed within the Spadra Basin GSA's framework. Furthermore, to increase the margin of safety and protect against climate volatility, the GSA agencies are advancing the Spadra Basin Optimization Project (detailed in **Section 3.9**) to inject recycled water and significantly expand the basin's sustainable yield threshold.

TVMWD's Role and Planned Storage Programs

Currently, TVMWD does not hold an active operational storage account in the Spadra Basin. However, expanding the use of the Spadra Basin is a key component of the Region's future mitigation and reliability strategy.

3.2.5. Central Basin

The Central Basin (DWR Basin No. 4-011.04) is a large adjudicated groundwater basin located in the Coastal Plain of Los Angeles, immediately south and southwest of the TVMWD service area. While the Central Basin is not a primary local supply source for the Region, it is included in this RUWMP because it is hydrologically connected to the TVMWD service area through the Main San Gabriel Basin and because two entities operating within the TVMWD service area – Suburban Water Systems and PBWA – hold adjudicated pumping rights within the basin. Within the context of this RUWMP, groundwater extraction from the Central Basin is utilized exclusively by Suburban Water Systems to serve the southwestern portion of its service area, providing approximately 3 percent of the total regional groundwater utilized by agencies within the TVMWD service area.

Physical Characteristics and Hydrogeology

The Central Basin is one of the largest groundwater basins in Los Angeles County, encompassing a surface area of approximately 277 square miles. The basin is a subbasin of the larger Coastal Plain of Los Angeles Groundwater Basin and is bounded on the northeast and east by the Elysian, Repetto, Merced, and Puente Hills, on the southeast by the Los Angeles County–Orange County line (roughly following Coyote Creek), and on the south and west by the Newport-Inglewood fault system and its associated uplift. The Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River drain across the surface of the Central Basin on their way to the Pacific Ocean.

The California Department of Water Resources historically divided the Central Basin into four hydrogeologic divisions: the Los Angeles Forebay, the Montebello Forebay, the Whittier Area, and the

Central Basin Pressure Area. The two forebays contain unconfined aquifers with relatively interconnected water-bearing strata extending up to 1,600 feet deep, providing the primary pathways for recharge to the deeper aquifer system. The Whittier Area and Pressure Area are predominantly confined aquifer systems consisting of permeable sands and gravels separated by semi-permeable to impermeable clay layers extending to approximately 2,200 feet below the surface. The principal water-producing formations are the Lakewood Formation and the San Pedro Formation. Total storage capacity of the basin is estimated to be approximately 13.8 million AF.

The hydrologic connection between the Central Basin and the TVMWD service area is significant: the Montebello Forebay receives both surface and subsurface inflow from the Main San Gabriel Basin through a gap in the Merced and Puente Hills at Whittier Narrows. This gap provides the primary conduit through which outflows from the TVMWD region's largest groundwater basin – including the replenishment water delivered by TVMWD to the Main San Gabriel Basin's spreading grounds – ultimately reach and recharge the Central Basin.

Adjudication and Basin Management

The Central Basin was adjudicated in 1965 (*Central and West Basin Water Replenishment District v. Charles E. Adams et al.*). The original Judgment was entered on October 11, 1965, and appointed DWR as Watermaster. The Judgment has been amended three times since its inception: the First Amended Judgment (1980) transitioned the administrative year from a water year to a fiscal year basis (July 1 through June 30); the Second Amended Judgment (1991) modified carryover and overproduction provisions; and, most significantly, the Third Amended Judgment was entered on December 23, 2013, substantially modernizing basin governance and establishing provisions for groundwater storage (Third Amended Judgment included in **Part 3, Appendix T**).

Under the Third Amended Judgment, the Central Basin Watermaster is composed of three separate bodies with distinct responsibilities:

- The **Administrative Body**: WRD serves as the court-appointed Administrative Body, responsible for the administration and enforcement of the Judgment, including accounting and reporting functions related to groundwater extractions, carryover, and storage.
- The **Water Rights Panel**: Composed of seven Central Basin water rights holders, the Panel has exclusive authority to enforce adjudicated extraction rights and manage the Exchange Pool.
- The **Storage Panel**: Composed of the Water Rights Panel and the WRD Board of Directors, the Panel reviews and approves groundwater storage projects within the basin.

Unlike the Main San Gabriel and Six Basins, which utilize an annually determined OSY that fluctuates based on real-time hydrological conditions, the Central Basin operates under fixed Allowed Pumping Allocations (APAs). Each party's APA represents 80 percent of its court-adjudicated Total Water Right. Parties are permitted to carry over unused pumping rights (up to 60 percent of their APA) into the following administrative year and may extract up to 140 percent of the sum of their APA and leased water in any given year, provided they have sufficient carryover or stored water balances. Over-

extractions by parties without stored water are limited to the greater of 20 percent of their APA or 20 AF.

The Third Amended Judgment also established formal provisions for groundwater storage in the Central Basin for the first time, designating an Adjudicated Storage Capacity of 220,000 AF. This storage capacity is apportioned between Individual Storage Accounts – sized at 50 percent of each party's APA – and a Community Storage Pool available on a first-come, first-served basis. Additionally, the Judgment established the Regional Disadvantaged Communities Incentive Program, which reserves up to 23,000 AF of the Community Storage Pool for the use or benefit of DACs within the Central Basin.

Groundwater replenishment in the Central Basin is managed by the Water Replenishment District of Southern California (WRD), which purchases imported water from MWD and recycled water from the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (LACSD) for recharge at the Montebello Forebay spreading grounds. WRD also manages the Alamos Gap, Dominguez Gap, and West Coast Basin Barrier injection well projects to prevent seawater intrusion into the basin's coastal aquifers.

Table 3-12 summarizes the aggregated historical groundwater production from the Central Basin by retail agencies within the TVMWD service area over the 2021–2025 baseline period.

Table 3-12. Historical Groundwater Production from the Central Basin by TVMWD Agencies (AFY)

Water Source / Agency	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Average
Participating Agencies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other TVMWD Agencies*	1,543	1,151	1,118	2,253	2,728	1,759
Regional Total	1,543	1,151	1,118	2,253	2,728	1,759

*Note: To accurately reflect the total regional reliance on the Spadra Basin, this table incorporates estimated groundwater production for Other Member Agencies within the TVMWD service area. Because these agencies are not formal signatories to this 2025 RUWMP, their historical production volumes were estimated utilizing the best available public data, including Central Basin Watermaster Annual Reports published by WRD.

3.2.6. *Puente Basin*

The Puente Basin is a small, adjudicated groundwater basin located in eastern Los Angeles County, directly beneath the southern portion of the TVMWD service area. Unlike the Central Basin described in the preceding section, the Puente Basin lies entirely within the Region's geographic footprint and is actively managed by the PBWA. Groundwater within the Puente Basin is currently utilized exclusively for non-potable purposes, primarily recycled water distribution blending by Rowland Water District. While extraction volumes are small relative to the Region's other basins (approximately 1 percent of the total regional groundwater), the Puente Basin represents a strategically important local resource that PBWA is actively working to develop through a comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan.

Physical Characteristics and Hydrogeology

The Puente Basin spans approximately 20 square miles and is situated in a narrow alluvial valley between the San Jose Hills to the north and the Puente Hills to the south. The basin shares its eastern boundary with the Spadra Basin (described in **Section 3.2.4**) and its western boundary with the Main San

Gabriel Basin (described in **Section 3.2.1**). San Jose Creek, the basin's primary surface drainage feature, flows through the basin from northeast to west, ultimately passing through Whittier Narrows into the Central Basin (described in **Section 3.2.5**).

The basin's water-bearing formations consist of alluvial deposits along the San Jose Creek valley. Natural recharge occurs primarily through the percolation of precipitation and infiltration of surface water runoff from the surrounding San Jose Hills and Puente Hills. The basin also receives subsurface inflow from the adjacent Spadra Basin to the east.

Three water districts overlie the Puente Basin boundary: Walnut Valley WD, Rowland WD, and the Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District. The basin is sandwiched between the TVMWD service area's other groundwater resources and lies along the path of San Jose Creek's westward flow, making it a critical hydrologic link in the broader regional groundwater system. The Puente Narrows Agreement, established in connection with the 1973 Main San Gabriel Basin adjudication, governs subsurface outflow from the Puente Basin into the Main San Gabriel Basin, and the 1965 Long Beach Judgment guarantees the Central and West Coast Basins an average annual water supply through Whittier Narrows that includes underflow from the Puente Basin.

Adjudication and Basin Management

The Puente Basin was adjudicated in 1985. The Judgment (included in **Part 3, Appendix U**) established the legal framework for groundwater extraction rights within the basin and recognized the basin's hydrologic connections to the adjacent Main San Gabriel and Central Basins through the Puente Narrows Agreement (included in **Part 3, Appendix U**).

Because the Puente Basin is adjudicated, it is exempt from the requirement to form a GSA or adopt a GSP under SGMA. Basin management is instead governed by the terms of the Judgment and administered through PBWA in coordination with the Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District.

Recognizing the strategic value of this local resource, PBWA is currently developing a voluntary Groundwater Management Plan (GMP) to guide the future management and beneficial use of the Puente Basin. As part of this effort, PBWA has completed several technical memoranda, including TM-1: Conceptual Understanding of the Puente Basin (PBWA, 2023); TM-2: Goals and Concepts for Improved Basin Management memorandum (PBWA, 2024a); and TM-3: Basin Management Alternatives analysis (PBWA, 2025). PBWA has conducted stakeholder meetings throughout 2024 and 2025 to solicit input on the GMP's development, with the stated objective of maximizing the beneficial use of the basin in a conjunctive manner with the Region's other water resources — including imported water from TVMWD, treated recycled water from LACSD, and groundwater from adjacent basins.

Current Groundwater Use

Groundwater within the Puente Basin is currently impaired by water quality constraints that limit its use to non-potable applications. Rowland WD operates a well within the Puente Basin that produces impaired groundwater for blending into its recycled water distribution system. This groundwater, along with treated water captured from an EPA Superfund cleanup project and recycled water purchased from

LACSD (via the San Jose Creek Water Reclamation Plant), supports Rowland WD's extensive non-potable recycled water program.

3.2.7. Groundwater Quality Impacts on Reliability

Groundwater quality in the Region is generally sufficient to meet municipal demands, provided that appropriate wellhead treatment and blending strategies are employed. The Participating Agencies and local Watermasters continuously monitor groundwater quality in accordance with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) DDW regulations and the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) Program.

While local groundwater is a highly reliable source in terms of volume, its usability is constrained by historical land-use practices. Decades of agricultural, industrial, and military activities have introduced various contaminants into the underlying aquifers of the Main San Gabriel, Chino, Six Basins, Spadra, Central, and Puente basins. When wells exhibit contaminant levels exceeding the Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) or Notification Levels set by DDW, they must be removed from service until treatment is installed or blending plans are approved.

Primary Contaminants of Concern

Groundwater from the Region's basins has historically exhibited exceedances for several constituents. The most prevalent contaminants requiring active management include:

- Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs): Chemicals such as Tetrachloroethene (PCE) and Trichloroethene (TCE) are widely present, primarily resulting from legacy industrial and aerospace manufacturing in the San Gabriel Valley.
- Nitrates and Total Dissolved Solids: Elevated levels of nitrates and salts are particularly prevalent in the Chino Basin and portions of the Six Basins, stemming from historical agricultural operations, dairy farming, and the use of imported water and recycled water for recharge.
- Perchlorate: A chemical used in rocket fuel and flares, perchlorate has impacted groundwater wells in several areas, requiring specialized ion-exchange treatment.
- Contaminants of Emerging Concern (CECs) and PFAS: Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are man-made chemicals used in fire-retardant foams and consumer products. As DDW establishes strict new Public Health Goals and MCLs for PFAS (specifically PFOA and PFOS), many agencies in the Region are being forced to take wells offline and rapidly design and construct new GAC or ion-exchange treatment facilities.
- Other Constituents: 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (1,2,3-TCP), Hexavalent Chromium, Arsenic, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP), and naturally occurring Uranium.

Table 3-13 describes the primary groundwater contaminants of concern in the Region.

Table 3-13. Primary Groundwater Contaminants and Regional Treatment Strategies

Contaminant of Concern	Primary Source / Cause	Typical Treatment Technology Utilized in Region	Impacted Basins (General)
VOCs (PCE, TCE)	Legacy industrial and aerospace manufacturing	Granular Activated Carbon (GAC), Air Stripping	Main San Gabriel, Spadra, Six Basins
Nitrates	Historical agriculture, dairy farming, fertilizers	Blending, Anion Exchange, Reverse Osmosis (RO)	Chino Basin, Six Basins
TDS (Salinity)	Agricultural runoff, imported/recycled water recharge	Reverse Osmosis (Desalters)	Chino Basin
Perchlorate	Rocket propellants, flares, explosives	Ion Exchange (IX)	Main San Gabriel, Six Basins
PFAS / PFOA	Fire-fighting foams, industrial consumer products	Granular Activated Carbon (GAC), Ion Exchange	Region-wide
1,2,3-TCP	Legacy agricultural soil fumigants	Granular Activated Carbon (GAC)	Chino Basin, Six Basins

Known Contaminant Plumes and Basin-Specific Quality

The distribution of these contaminants varies by basin:

Main San Gabriel Basin: The EPA designated portions of the San Gabriel Valley as Superfund sites due to extensive VOC contamination. Major groundwater cleanup projects, such as the Baldwin Park Operable Unit (BPOU), utilize large-scale extraction and advanced treatment facilities to contain the migration of these plumes, remove VOCs and perchlorate, and provide treated water for municipal supply.

Chino Basin: The Chino Basin faces significant challenges with TDS and nitrates. The Chino Basin Watermaster's OBMP includes the operation of regional groundwater desalters to extract and treat this degraded water, preventing the migration of poor-quality water into cleaner areas of the basin and producing potable supply.

Six Basins and Spadra Basin: Localized VOC and nitrate contamination impacts wells in these basins. Because groundwater produced from the Spadra Basin frequently requires extensive wellhead treatment or blending to comply with SWRCB-DDW drinking water standards, it is currently utilized primarily to meet non-potable overlying demands by local water agencies. To overcome these constraints and restore potable capacity, agencies are actively investing in remediation. For example, as noted in the TVMWD's WRMP (TVMWD, 2025), Cal Poly Pomona is pursuing VOC treatment at its Well No. 2 within the Spadra Basin to restore its capacity to produce potable water.

Central Basin and Puente Basin: The Central Basin faces water quality challenges common to the greater Coastal Plain of Los Angeles, including localized saltwater intrusion along its coastal margins and legacy contamination from industrial activities. WRD manages basin-wide water quality monitoring and replenishment using recycled water and imported water. The Puente Basin contains impaired groundwater that currently limits extraction to non-potable uses by Rowland WD. PBWA is actively

evaluating wellhead treatment options through its ongoing Groundwater Management Plan development process to potentially restore potable extraction capacity in the future.

Impact on Supply Reliability

Groundwater quality degradation has a direct and severe impact on the Region's water supply reliability. When local wells are shut down due to contamination (referred to in the WRMP as "stranded assets"), retail agencies lose their ability to pump their adjudicated groundwater rights. To replace this lost local supply, agencies are forced to increase their purchases of imported water from TVMWD. This dynamic shifts the burden to the imported water system, precisely when the Region is attempting to reduce its reliance on imported water due to drought and climate change vulnerabilities.

To break this cycle, TVMWD and the Participating Agencies have prioritized regional mitigation projects focused on water quality treatment. For example, the proposed GRIP+ project - a major regional partnership between TVMWD, the City of Glendora, and the City of Pomona – and City of Pomona Groundwater Quality Improvement project (described in Section 3.9) involve installing wellhead treatment (such as GAC for TCP and anion exchange for nitrates) to rehabilitate stranded wells. By investing in groundwater treatment, the Region can restore local extraction capacity, fully utilize its groundwater basin storage, and secure a drought-resilient water portfolio.

Stranded Groundwater Assets and Evolving Regulations

As detailed above, the Region overlies several historic contaminant plumes (VOCs, perchlorate, nitrates). While many of these legacy plumes are actively managed, the Region faces a significant, ongoing reliability threat from "moving regulatory targets" – specifically, the introduction of stricter MCLs for CECs.

For example, the EPA issued final National Primary Drinking Water Regulations for several PFAS compounds in April 2024 (EPA, 2024), and the SWRCB DDW continues to tighten state-level Public Health Goals and MCLs. When these new, ultra-low regulatory thresholds take effect, wells that previously met all safety standards instantly become non-compliant. These wells must be taken offline, creating "stranded assets."

3.3. Surface Water

While imported water and groundwater form the foundation of the Region's water portfolio, local surface water provides a valuable, highly cost-effective supplemental supply. Between 2021 and 2025, local surface water use by TVMWD member agencies averaged 2,267 AFY, accounting for approximately 2 percent of the Region's total water supply portfolio.

Surface water within the Region is primarily utilized in two ways: direct diversion for potable treatment and distribution, and diversion into spreading grounds for groundwater basin replenishment. Because surface water flows are entirely dependent on local precipitation and snowpack in the San Gabriel Mountains, this supply is highly variable and susceptible to drought conditions.

3.3.1. *Surface Hydrology and Major Sources*

The surface hydrology of the Region is dominated by the San Gabriel Mountains to the north, which capture precipitation and generate runoff that flows southward into the valley floors. The Region spans portions of two major watersheds: the San Gabriel River watershed to the west and the Santa Ana River watershed (via San Antonio Creek) to the east.

San Gabriel River

The San Gabriel River is the primary surface water system serving the western portion of the TVMWD service area. The river's headwaters originate in the Angeles National Forest. Runoff is captured and regulated by a series of upstream dams (including Cogswell, San Gabriel, and Morris Dams) before flowing downstream into the Main San Gabriel Basin. Flows in the San Gabriel River are highly variable, with the majority of natural runoff occurring during the winter storm season (December through March).

San Antonio Creek

San Antonio Creek serves the eastern portion of the Region. Originating near Mount San Antonio (Mt. Baldy), the creek flows southward through San Antonio Canyon. Flows are regulated by the San Antonio Dam, a flood control facility operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Below the dam, the creek flows into the Six Basins and Chino Basin areas, where it is utilized for both direct supply and groundwater recharge.

3.3.2. *Surface Water Diversions and Management*

Unlike its role with imported water, TVMWD does not own surface water rights or operate self-supplied surface water diversion facilities to meet regional water demands. Instead, surface water rights are held and managed by specific retail member agencies and mutual water companies within the Region.

Key surface water management activities in the Region include:

- Covina Valley Water Company: Formed through the merger of the Covina Irrigating Company and Valencia Heights Water Company, CVWC is a TVMWD member agency that holds pre-1914 appropriative rights to divert surface water from the San Gabriel River. These surface water rights are legally administered and apportioned through CVWC's membership in the San Gabriel River Water Committee (historically known as the "Committee of Nine" established by the 1889 Compromise Agreement) (documentation of the pre-1914 rights are included in **Part 3, Appendix O**). CVWC treats this local surface water (along with untreated imported water from TVMWD) at its William B. Temple Treatment Plant before supplying it to member agencies within the TVMWD region. Based on historical data from 2015 to 2022, CVWC diverts an average of 1,135 AFY of local surface water from the San Gabriel River.
- City of Pomona: The City of Pomona holds pre-1914 appropriative rights (included in **Part 3, Appendix P**) to local surface water from San Antonio Creek and its local tributary watersheds (such as Evey Canyon). These surface water rights are shared with the San Antonio Water

Company via a diversion structure located upstream of the San Antonio Dam. Crucially, the 1998 Six Basins Adjudication Judgment explicitly reaffirmed the City of Pomona's right to continue harvesting this surface water for direct municipal use, independent of the basin's groundwater recharge obligations. The City diverts this water and treats it at the Pedley Filtration Plant, located in the City of Claremont, for potable use. Based on historical data from 2021 to 2025, Pomona diverts an average of 1,481 AFY of local surface water from the San Gabriel River.

- TVMWD Recharge Operations: While TVMWD does not directly serve surface water to customers, it actively participates in the management of these flows to maximize regional groundwater storage. For example, TVMWD purchases San Antonio Creek surface water supplies from the City of Pomona to replenish the Six Basins. TVMWD also utilizes interconnections, such as Pomona's Canon pipeline, to direct surface water to the San Antonio Spreading Grounds, directly benefiting TVMWD's groundwater extraction wells located in the Six Basins.

3.3.3. *Surface Water Quality and Climate Impacts*

Because the TVMWD service area straddles a major hydrological divide, surface water quality is governed by two separate regulatory frameworks:

- San Gabriel River Watershed: Governed by the SWRCB Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Region 4 Basin Plan) (LARWQCB, 2014).
- San Antonio Creek Watershed: Governed by the SWRCB Plan for the Santa Ana River Basin (Region 8 Basin Plan) (SARWQCB, 2025).

These Basin Plans establish the beneficial use designations and water quality objectives for the Region's surface waters. Because the Participating Agencies divert surface water from the high-elevation, upper portions of these watersheds (upstream of major urban development), the ambient water quality is generally excellent and free of the urban runoff contaminants, industrial discharges, and 303(d) impairments that typically affect the lower reaches of these river systems.

Source-Specific Quality and Treatment

While free of most industrial contaminants, local surface water is directly exposed to the natural environment and requires conventional treatment (coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection) to meet state and federal drinking water standards.

- San Gabriel River: Water diverted by the CVWC from the San Gabriel River is characterized by low TDS and high historical purity. CVWC treats this water at its William B. Temple Treatment Plant.
- San Antonio Creek: Water diverted by the City of Pomona from San Antonio Creek originates as mountain snowmelt and runoff from Mount San Antonio. It is treated at the City's Pedley Filtration Plant in Claremont.

The primary water quality challenge for both of these sources is turbidity (suspended sediment and organic matter) driven by weather events. During dry periods, the water is clear and easily treated. However, during intense winter storms, rapid runoff causes severe spikes in turbidity. When turbidity levels exceed the operational thresholds of the filtration plants, agencies are forced to temporarily halt diversions and bypass the storm flows until the water clears, resulting in a loss of potential supply.

Table 3-14 describes the primary surface water quality challenges and concerns in the Region.

Table 3-14. Primary Surface Water Quality Challenges and Operational Impacts

Water Quality Challenge	Primary Source / Cause	Impact on Supply Reliability	Typical Mitigation / Treatment Strategy
Turbidity (Suspended Solids)	Heavy winter rainstorms, rapid snowmelt	High Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU) levels can blind filters; forces temporary shutdown of diversion facilities.	Conventional filtration; temporary bypass of high-flow storm events.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Natural decay, post-wildfire ash	Acts as a precursor for DBPs when chlorinated.	Enhanced coagulation; careful management of chlorine dosing; blending with imported water.
Ash and Debris Loads	Post-wildfire runoff from the San Gabriel Mountains	Can physically damage intakes and severely degrade raw water quality for extended periods.	Watershed management; installation of protective intake screens; temporary reliance on groundwater/imported water.
Pathogens (Giardia, Cryptosporidium)	Wildlife and natural environmental exposure	Requires strict compliance with the Surface Water Treatment Rule.	Multi-barrier treatment (Filtration + UV or Chlorine disinfection).

Climate Change and Wildfire Impacts

Because local surface water supplies are directly tied to precipitation, they are highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. As discussed in **Section 2.5.2**, TVMWD's 2024 Climate Assessment modeled a "Dry Hot" (Drier with Extreme Warming) scenario against historical baselines (TVMWD, 2024a). As part of that Climate Assessment, projected changes in streamflow were modeled for the San Gabriel River

(specifically below Santa Fe Dam near Baldwin, USGS Gage 11085000) through the year 2045 (USGS, 2026).

The modeling revealed several critical vulnerabilities for the Region's surface water supplies:

- Reduction in Total Flow: Under the Dry Hot scenario, annual streamflow in the San Gabriel River is projected to decrease by 10 percent by 2045 relative to the current climate.
- Shift in Seasonal Timing: The hydrograph of the river is expected to shift significantly. Lower flows are projected during the historically high-flow months of February and March (decreasing by 20 to 21 cubic feet per second [cfs]), while precipitation shifts slightly earlier into the fall.
- Increased Intensity and Shorter Seasons: The Region is projected to experience a shorter overall rainy season, but with the potential for higher-intensity storm events. This results in higher peak flows of shorter duration.

These hydrologic shifts dictate that river supplies will become less reliable for direct diversion. While natural groundwater recharge will still occur, the shifting timing and increased intensity of storm flows mean that existing diversion facilities and spreading grounds may face capacity constraints during flash-flood events. Consequently, the Region must adapt its surface water management strategies to ensure diversion facilities can capture and recharge these higher-intensity, higher turbidity, and shorter-duration flows before they are lost downstream.

3.4. Stormwater

Within the TVMWD service area, other than the surface water described in the prior section, stormwater is not directly diverted for potable use; rather, stormwater capture is a component of the Region's conjunctive use and groundwater management strategy. The capture and percolation of local stormwater runoff is the primary mechanism for the natural replenishment of the Main San Gabriel, Six Basins, Chino, and Spadra groundwater basins. The volume of stormwater captured directly influences the OSY of these adjudicated basins, which in turn supports the local groundwater extraction totals detailed in **Section 3.2**.

3.4.1. *Regional Stormwater Capture Facilities*

Because TVMWD is a wholesale agency, it does not own or operate stormwater capture or flood control infrastructure. Stormwater capture is managed through highly coordinated partnerships with regional flood control districts and local protective associations. These entities operate networks of dams, diversion structures, and spreading grounds designed to capture stormwater runoff and percolate it into the underlying aquifers.

Key stormwater capture facilities benefiting the Region include:

- Santa Fe Spreading Grounds (Main San Gabriel Basin): Operated by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (LACDPW), this facility captures runoff from the San Gabriel River watershed. Located in the upper portion of the Santa Fe Dam reservoir, the spreading grounds

utilize a rubber dam to direct river flows into percolation basins, capable of percolating approximately 400 cfs continuously.

- Peck Road Water Conservation Park (Main San Gabriel Basin): Operated by the LACDPW, this facility captures stormwater runoff from the San Gabriel River and Arcadia Wash watersheds. Functioning as both a recreational park and a critical water conservation asset, the facility utilizes a large primary lake and interconnected percolation basins to detain high-velocity storm flows. Impounding this water prevents it from bypassing the local groundwater system, instead allowing it to slowly infiltrate through highly permeable soils into the underlying Main San Gabriel Basin, actively supporting the Region's groundwater replenishment objectives.
- San Antonio and Thompson Creek Spreading Grounds (Six Basins / Chino Basin): Owned and managed by the Pomona Valley Protective Association (PVPA) in coordination with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and local Watermasters. Spanning approximately 1,000 acres, these facilities capture stormwater rushing out of San Antonio Canyon. Water impounded behind the San Antonio Dam is discharged in a controlled manner into PVPA diversion works, which utilize slide gates to divert high-velocity stormwater into gravel pits and channels for groundwater recharge.

Table 3-15 summarizes the primary stormwater capture and spreading facilities that support the Region's groundwater basins.

Table 3-15. Major Stormwater Capture and Spreading Facilities Benefiting the Region

Facility Name	Managing/Operating Entity	Primary Source Water	Primary Basin(s) Recharged
Santa Fe Spreading Grounds	Los Angeles County Dept. of Public Works (LACDPW)	San Gabriel River	Main San Gabriel Basin
San Antonio Spreading Grounds	Pomona Valley Protective Association (PVPA) / USACE	San Antonio Creek	Six Basins, Chino Basin
Thompson Creek Spreading Grounds	PVPA / LACDPW	Thompson Creek	Six Basins
Peck Road Water Conservation Park	Los Angeles County Dept. of Public Works	San Gabriel River / Arcadia Wash	Main San Gabriel Basin

3.4.2. Climate Change Vulnerabilities and Planned Improvements

As described in the Region's Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment (Section 2.5), local hydrology is projected to shift (TVMWD, 2024a). While the total volume of annual precipitation may not decrease drastically by 2050, projections show that the timing and intensity of that precipitation will.

The Region is projected to experience a shorter rainy season characterized by more severe, high-intensity "atmospheric river" events. This volatility poses a direct threat to stormwater capture: existing diversion facilities and spreading grounds may face capacity constraints during flash-flood events, resulting in vital water supplies bypassing the spreading grounds and being lost to the ocean.

To mitigate this climate vulnerability, the Region is actively investing in infrastructure enhancements to increase the instantaneous capture capacity of local spreading grounds. These enhancements are described in more detail in **Section 3.9**.

3.5. Recycled Water and Wastewater

The development and utilization of recycled water is a critical strategy for the Region. Although implementing recycled water infrastructure requires significant capital investment, the supply is highly reliable because wastewater flows to treatment plants remain relatively constant regardless of hydrologic conditions. For this reason, recycled water is often considered a "drought-proof" supply.

Based on historical water use from 2021 to 2025, recycled water accounts for approximately 4 percent of the Region's total water supply portfolio, providing an average of 6,224 AFY. Currently, TVMWD does not directly use or have access to recycled water at the wholesale level. Instead, several member agencies within the TVMWD service area utilize recycled water to meet non-potable demands, primarily for landscape irrigation and industrial uses.

3.5.1. Wastewater Collection and Treatment

To understand the potential for recycled water, it is necessary to quantify the wastewater generated and treated within the Region. Neither TVMWD nor the majority of its Participating Agencies provide wastewater collection or treatment services. Instead, wastewater collection and treatment within the Region is primarily managed by LACSD, along with local municipal sewer departments that maintain the collection pipelines conveying wastewater to LACSD's trunk sewers.

Recycled water sources in the Region are primarily derived from two LACSD-owned and operated water reclamation plants (WRPs):

- **Pomona WRP:** Located within the City of Pomona, this facility treats wastewater generated by residential and commercial users in the eastern portion of the Region. The Pomona WRP provides primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment for a design capacity of 15 MGD.
- **San Jose Creek WRP:** Located adjacent to the City of Industry, this larger facility serves a broader area of the San Gabriel Valley. The San Jose Creek WRP consists of two hydraulically interconnected facilities (East and West) that provide primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment for a combined design capacity of 100 MGD.

Table 3-16 summarizes the existing wastewater collection and treatment facilities serving the Region.

Table 3-16. Wastewater Treatment Plants Serving the Region

Facility Name	Operating Agency	Treatment Level	Design Capacity (MGD)	2025 Average Daily Flow (MGD)	Effluent Use
Pomona WRP	LACSD	Tertiary	15	6.85	Non-potable reuse for irrigation; remaining discharged to San Jose Creek ¹ .
San Jose Creek WRP	LACSD	Tertiary	100	60.1 ²	Non-potable reuse; groundwater recharge; remaining discharged to San Gabriel River ³ .

1. Under SWRCB Wastewater Change Petition WW0104 (SWRCB, 2020a) (included in **Part 3, Appendix Q**), LACSD is legally permitted to reduce its discharge to San Jose Creek to zero to maximize recycled water reuse, meaning there are no minimum bypass flow obligations for downstream habitat.
2. Source: Sewerage Service "Will Serve" Documentation. As published in recent regional CEQA filings (e.g., City of Irwindale Final IS/MND, January 2025) (City of Irwindale, 2025).
3. Under SWRCB Wastewater Change Petition WW0107 (SWRCB, 2020b) (included in **Part 3, Appendix R**), LACSD is authorized to significantly reduce discharges to expand regional reuse. However, this authorization is subject to specific, enforceable minimum flow conditions negotiated with the SWRCB and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (e.g., maintaining ~5 MGD at specific outfalls) to protect downstream habitat and public trust resources.

3.5.2. Current Recycled Water Uses

While TVMWD does not distribute recycled water, six member agencies within the Region – the City of Pomona, Rowland WD, WVWD, Cal Poly Pomona, Mt. SAC, and the City of Industry – currently utilize tertiary-treated recycled water purchased from LACSD to offset potable water demands. This water is distributed through dedicated "purple pipe" systems and is primarily used for irrigation of parks, golf courses, schools, and roadway medians. Recycled water from LACSD is acquired by the Participating Agencies through individual service agreements rather than formal adjudicated entitlements. The total available recycled water supply is governed by the treatment capacity of LACSD's two regional WRPs – the Pomona WRP (15 MGD capacity) and the San Jose Creek WRP (100 MGD capacity) – as documented in Section 3.5.1.

The City of Pomona, Rowland WD, and WVWD are the largest utilizers of recycled water among the Participating Agencies. Furthermore, institutional partners such as Cal Poly Pomona utilize significant volumes of recycled water for campus landscaping and agricultural operations.

Table 3-17 summarizes the aggregated historical recycled water use by TVMWD agencies over the 2021–2025 baseline period.

Table 3-17. Historical Annual Recycled Water Use by TVMWD Agencies (2021-2025)

Water Source / Agency	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Average
Participating Agencies	4,566	4,972	3,939	3,593	3,430	4,100
Other TVMWD Agencies*	2,126	2,126	2,126	2,126	2,126	2,126
Regional Total	6,682	7,098	6,065	5,719	5,555	6,226

*Note: To accurately reflect the total regional recycled water use, this table incorporates estimated recycled water use for Other Member Agencies within the TVMWD service area. Because these agencies are not formal signatories to this 2025 RUWMP, their historical recycled water use were estimated utilizing the best available public data, including related environmental documentation (e.g., EIRs) and 2020 UWMPs.

3.5.3. Planned Recycled Water Projects

The Region is actively exploring opportunities to expand the beneficial use of recycled water to further reduce dependence on imported water supplies. While expanding traditional "purple pipe" non-potable systems is ongoing, the Region is also investigating advanced purification and groundwater injection strategies. More detail is provided in **Section 3.9**.

3.6. Transfers and Exchanges

To maximize the reliability and efficiency of the Region's water supply, TVMWD and its Participating Agencies actively utilize transfers and exchanges. These water management strategies allow the Region to optimize existing resources, overcome localized water quality or infrastructure constraints, and ensure that water can be moved to where it is needed most, particularly during extended drought periods or emergency outages. While TVMWD serves as the primary conduit for importing water into the Region, the retail agencies themselves possess a highly interconnected distribution network. By leveraging joint powers authorities, shared pipelines, and cooperative agreements, the agencies can physically and contractually exchange water supplies.

Water transfers and exchanges involve the temporary or long-term trading of water rights or physical water supplies between agencies. In the TVMWD service area, these exchanges are facilitated by both physical interconnections and contractual agreements.

Existing Exchange Mechanisms and Infrastructure

A critical component of the Region's transfer capability is the Pomona-Walnut-Rowland JWL. The JWL is a shared transmission pipeline – not a standalone water right or active supply transfer program – that allows for the efficient movement of treated imported water (described in **Section 3.1**) among the City of Pomona, Rowland WD, and WVWD. While it does not currently generate 'new' supply, the JWL's physical interconnection makes future regional exchanges possible. For example, the planned Chino Basin Conjunctive Use Exchange (described in **Section 3.9**) relies entirely on the JWL to physically wheel Pomona's extracted groundwater westward to offset other agencies' imported water demands.

Additionally, the PBWA acts as a legal and administrative vehicle for executing water transfers, securing groundwater export rights, and developing shared infrastructure that benefits both districts. While the PBWA does not generate 'new' supply, it utilizes its authority to optimize regional reliability by banking

surplus water in the Main San Gabriel Basin (described in **Section 3.2.1**) and facilitate external surface water transfers, such as the planned partnership with the Covina Valley Water Company (described in **Section 3.9**).

In addition to these specific regional projects, TVMWD and the Participating Agencies continue to maintain emergency interties with neighboring systems to facilitate short-term transfers during facility outages or localized supply disruptions.

3.7. Supply From Storage

A foundational pillar of the Region's water supply reliability is the proactive management of local groundwater basins through "conjunctive use." Conjunctive use is the strategic practice of storing imported surface water in local groundwater aquifers during wet years when supplies are abundant, and subsequently extracting that stored water during dry years when imported supplies are constrained.

This regional "water banking" strategy acts as a critical buffer, allowing the Participating Agencies to maintain sufficient supply to meet demands during single-dry and multi-year drought conditions without triggering severe mandatory rationing.

It is important to note that 'Supply from Storage' is not quantified as an independent, separate line item in the regional supply projections presented in **Section 5**. Because the Region actively practices conjunctive use, the withdrawal of banked water is physically realized through increased local well production during droughts. Therefore, the yields from the storage accounts detailed in this section are implicitly embedded within the regional 'Local Groundwater' supply totals. Listing storage as a separate supply source would result in an inaccurate double-counting of the Region's available water assets.

3.7.1. TVMWD Regional Storage Capacity and MWD Cyclic Storage

TVMWD actively manages changes in annual water supply availability by utilizing storage accounts across multiple adjudicated basins. Across the Main San Gabriel, Six Basins, and Chino Basins, TVMWD has a combined authorized storage capacity of 54,890 AF.

A primary mechanism for utilizing this capacity is the Cyclic Storage Program established with MWD. Under this program, MWD delivers imported water supplies to TVMWD for storage in advance of demand. This allows the Region to capture surplus imported supplies that would otherwise be lost to the ocean during high-precipitation years. TVMWD's Cyclic Storage Agreement with MWD (included in **Part 3, Appendix K**) allows for the pre-delivery and storage of up to 50,000 AF. Originally signed in 1991, this agreement was recently amended and extended through June 2034, ensuring long-term contractual reliability for the Region's banking operations.

MWD Chino Basin Conjunctive Use Program (Dry-Year Yield Program)

In addition to cyclic storage accounts, TVMWD is a signatory partner in MWD's Chino Basin Conjunctive Use Program (regionally referred to as the Dry-Year Yield Program, or DYYP). Executed in June 2003 in partnership with MWD, the Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA), and the Chino Basin Watermaster, this

program is one of the largest active groundwater banking operations in Southern California (MWD et al., 2003) (Agreement provided in **Part 3, Appendix T**).

The DYYP provides a maximum authorized storage capacity of 100,000 AF within the Chino Basin, with a designed dry-year extraction yield of up to 33,000 AF per year. Under this arrangement, MWD stores surplus imported water in the Chino Basin during hydrologically wet periods using Proposition 13 state grant funds and MWD capital.

During severe droughts or periods of imported supply constraint, MWD can "call" on this stored water. To execute the call, local participating pumpers extract the banked groundwater in lieu of taking treated imported water deliveries from MWD. This in-lieu exchange effectively frees up MWD's regional surface water supplies, reduces TVMWD's imported water demand during critical shortage years, and provides a highly reliable local buffer against SWP allocation cuts. Operating under an initial 25-year term (through 2028, with provisions for renewal or extension), the DYYP serves as a foundational component of the Region's drought resilience strategy and illustrates the collaborative, conjunctive management required to navigate prolonged dry periods.

By the end of 2025, TVMWD is projected to hold approximately 14,000 AF of water in storage across its accounts. **Table 3-18** summarizes the Region's aggregated groundwater storage portfolio, delineating between wholesale accounts managed by TVMWD and retail accounts managed by Joint Power Authorities (such as PBWA).

Table 3-18. TVMWD Regional Groundwater Storage Portfolio Summary

Groundwater Basin	Agreement Type	Maximum Storage Capacity (AF)	Estimated Stored Balance (End of 2025) (AF)
Wholesale Storage			
Main San Gabriel Basin	Cyclic Storage Agreement	50,000	3,079
Six Basins	Operational Storage Account	3,500	~3,500 ¹
Chino Basin	One-Time Storage Agreement	1,390	1,390
Spadra Basin	Planned Optimization Project	0 (Planned: 3,500)	0
Wholesale Subtotal		54,890 (Current) 58,390 (Current and Planned)	~7,969
Retail / JPA Storage			
Main San Gabriel Basin	Storage and Export Agreement	30,000	3,000 ²
Retail / JPA Subtotal		30,000	3,000
Regional Total		84,890 (Current) 88,390 (Current and Planned)	~13,969
MWD Regional Storage (Partnered)			
Chino Basin	MWD Conjunctive Use Program (DYYP)	100,000 (shared with IEUA) ⁴	Managed by MWD ³

1. Based on 2024 Annual Watermaster Report (Six Basins Watermaster, 2025). Final 2025 stored balances are subject to ongoing Six Basins Watermaster reconciliation.
2. Balance estimated based on the PBWA Board of Commissioners' October 2024 authorization to purchase 3,000 AF of cyclic storage for the 2025-2029 water years (PBWA, 2024b).
3. The 100,000 AF maximum capacity represents a regional MWD account shared with the IEUA. Because the stored water is owned by MWD and called at MWD's discretion, the current stored balance is excluded from TVMWD's exclusive local storage totals to accurately reflect local asset control and avoid double-counting MWD supplies.

Groundwater banking programs are a formalized extension of the Region's conjunctive use strategy. While general groundwater storage involves recharging the aquifer to maintain basin health, "banking" involves formal, contractual agreements that allow an agency to deposit a quantified volume of water into a basin and retain the legal right to extract, transfer, or export that specific volume of water at a later date.

Because the Region overlies multiple adjudicated and managed basins, groundwater banking requires close coordination with basin Watermasters to ensure that deposits and withdrawals do not negatively impact basin safe yields or water quality.

3.7.2. *Recharge Mechanisms and Operational Constraints*

To physically place water into these groundwater storage accounts, TVMWD purchases untreated imported water from MWD and delivers it to various regional spreading grounds, such as the San Antonio Spreading Grounds (Six Basins) and the Santa Fe Spreading Grounds (Main San Gabriel Basin). Water is also banked "in-lieu" by providing treated surface water to retail agencies, allowing them to turn off their groundwater pumps and leave their groundwater rights in the aquifer.

While TVMWD possesses significant "paper" storage capacity, the physical availability of spreading facilities and conveyance pipelines can limit the ability to fully utilize these programs. During extreme wet years, spreading grounds must be shared with local flood control and stormwater capture operations, occasionally limiting the volume of imported water that can be recharged simultaneously.

Planned Groundwater Mitigation Projects

To overcome these operational constraints and maximize the Region's conjunctive use potential, TVMWD and its member agencies have identified a suite of regional mitigation projects focused on expanding treatment capacity, installing new extraction and injection wells, and building interties to move stored water across the Region more effectively. These projects are described in more detail in **Section 3.9**.

3.8. Desalination Opportunities

The CWC requires urban water suppliers to evaluate the opportunities for the development of desalinated water, including ocean water, brackish surface water, and brackish groundwater, as a long-term supply. Desalination involves treating water with high salinity levels – typically using advanced membrane processes such as reverse osmosis (RO) – to produce potable drinking water.

3.8.1. *Opportunities for Brackish Water and/or Groundwater Desalination*

Brackish groundwater refers to groundwater with elevated levels of TDS and salts, often resulting from historical agricultural practices or localized geological conditions.

Currently, the primary groundwater quality constraints within the Region are related to VOCs, nitrates, and CECs such as PFAS. Participating Agencies are actively investing in advanced water treatment infrastructure – including ion exchange and RO trains, such as the additions planned at the Cal Poly Pomona Water Treatment Plant – to address these specific contaminants.

While RO technology is capable of desalting brackish water, large-scale brackish groundwater desalination specifically for the purpose of salt removal is not currently a primary supply development strategy for the TVMWD service area. However, as regional salt and nutrient management plans continue to monitor TDS accumulation in shared basins like the Chino Basin, the deployment of desalter operations may become a more prominent opportunity in the future. At this time, the Region focuses its groundwater recovery investments on addressing industrial and chemical contaminants to restore stranded well assets rather than brackish desalting.

3.8.2. Opportunities for Seawater Desalination

Because the TVMWD service area is located in inland eastern Los Angeles County, it is geographically landlocked. Therefore, the direct development of seawater desalination facilities within the Region is physically and economically infeasible.

However, the Region recognizes and supports seawater desalination as a vital component of Southern California's overall water supply reliability. MWD actively supports the development of seawater desalination by its coastal member agencies through the Local Resources Program (LRP). When coastal agencies develop local desalinated supplies, it offsets their demand for imported water from the SWP and the Colorado River. This reduction in coastal demand directly benefits inland agencies like TVMWD by increasing the availability and reliability of imported water in MWD's regional storage network. Consequently, while TVMWD will not construct seawater desalination facilities, it indirectly relies on regional desalination advancements to bolster its imported water reliability.

3.9. Planned Water Supply Projects and Programs

As detailed throughout this chapter, the TVMWD service area relies on a complex, interconnected portfolio of imported and local water supplies. However, escalating climate change impacts – such as hydrologic volatility, prolonged droughts, and reduced imported water reliability – combined with increasingly stringent water quality regulations (e.g., new MCLs for PFAS), threaten the historical yield and flexibility of these resources.

To proactively mitigate these vulnerabilities and ensure long-term regional water security, TVMWD and its Participating Agencies have identified a suite of collaborative water supply projects and programs that focus on optimizing existing infrastructure, remediating stranded groundwater assets, expanding conjunctive use storage, and maximizing the physical capture and treatment of available local supplies. The key planned regional projects, which are at various stages of evaluation, design, and implementation, are detailed in TVMWD's 2025 WRMP (**Part 3, Appendix H**). These projects include:

Project 1: External Partnership with CVWC (formerly CIC) (Main Basin)

Through the Puente Basin Water Agency (described in **Section 3.2.1**), the Region is pursuing an external partnership with CVWC to access existing surplus water supplies. This project involves the construction of a new pipeline intertie that will allow the pumping of surplus CVWC well and surface water from the Main San Gabriel Basin directly into the Badillo-Grand pipeline. By physically importing this surplus local water eastward, the intertie is estimated to provide up to 2,000 AFY of supply, directly offsetting the participating agencies' reliance on TVMWD imported water deliveries.

Project 2: TVMWD Groundwater Reliability Improvement Program (GRIP)

This regional project would include a TVMWD partnership with the City of Glendora and the Puente Basin Water Agency to implement a regional distribution network and local supplies by utilizing 9,000 AF/year of stranded city assets. The regional distribution network would be augmented by the construction of new treatment facilities and conveyance pipelines. Three Valleys, as the lead agency, will

develop the project that includes new replacement wells with wellhead treatment for City of Glendora's Wells #3, 4, and 7, and the pipeline and pumpstations. This regional project could also be expanded to address water quality concerns for more member agencies. This project would increase regional water supply reliability, thereby improving operational flexibility by integrating additional water sources into the existing network. This regional project would provide an estimated 9 TAF toward Three Valleys' goal of increasing overall water supply by 15 TAF.

Project 3: TVMWD Storing Water in Main San Gabriel Basin (GRIP+)

This project represents a major regional partnership between TVMWD, the City of Glendora, and the City of Pomona to maximize the storage of surplus imported water during wet years at the Santa Fe Spreading Grounds. To ensure this stored water can be efficiently recovered and distributed during droughts, the project expands physical extraction and conveyance capacity. Infrastructure plans include the installation of five new extraction wells (two dedicated to Glendora and three to Pomona) equipped with necessary wellhead treatments. Additionally, the project will construct approximately five miles of potable water pipeline to connect these Main San Gabriel Basin supplies directly to Pomona's distribution system and the Pomona-Walnut-Rowland JWL. Once fully implemented, this project is anticipated to produce approximately 9,200 AFY of highly reliable local groundwater supply.

Project 4: TVMWD-Pomona Chino Basin Conjunctive Use Exchange

Building upon the Region's successful participation in the existing MWD Dry-Year Yield Program detailed in **Section 3.7.1**, TVMWD and the City of Pomona are actively evaluating a mutually beneficial "in-lieu" exchange program to leverage the Chino Basin. While the MWD DYYP provides a broad regional buffer for the State Water Project, this planned TVMWD partnership focuses on highly localized infrastructure optimizations. Under this arrangement, TVMWD would provide funding to construct or upgrade Pomona's extraction and treatment infrastructure in the Chino Basin. In exchange, Pomona would pump and deliver an equivalent amount of its local water for use by other TVMWD member agencies. This exchanged water would be conveyed westward via the JWL and the Badillo/Grand Transmission Main. This creates a highly reliable local groundwater source for agencies that currently possess high dependencies on imported water (such as the City of La Verne, Golden State Water Company, and the Puente Basin Water Agency).

Other planned regional efforts and projects include:

Spadra Basin Optimization & Cal Poly Pomona Injection

This initiative combines multiple concepts to revitalize the non-adjudicated Spadra Basin using advanced treated recycled water. Spearheaded collaboratively by Walnut Valley Water District, the City of Pomona, and California State Polytechnic University, Pomona (Cal Poly), the optimization project proposes the construction of an underground recharge gallery, seven injection wells, five production wells, and the expansion of the Cal Poly Reverse Osmosis (RO) plant. A key component involves utilizing TVMWD's Corporate Center Drive properties (adjacent to the I-57 and I-71 freeways) for new injection and extraction wells. By partnering with the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts to secure advanced treated recycled water for injection, this project aims to create a drought-proof local storage asset with a capacity of up to 3,500 AF.

Stormwater Capture Enhancements

To address the climate-driven shift toward shorter, higher-intensity winter storm events, the Region is upgrading its local spreading grounds to prevent volatile flash-flood flows from bypassing capture facilities. At the San Antonio Spreading Grounds, plans include excavating and developing new, deeper recharge basins (up to 200 feet in depth) to drastically increase instantaneous stormwater capture and storage volume. Concurrently, at the Thompson Creek Spreading Grounds, the Region is working in cooperation with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (LACDPW) to upgrade aging conveyance ditches and diversion structures to maximize the flow rate of water safely directed into the spreading basins.

4. Regional Water Use

Accurately projecting regional water use is a critical component of water supply planning. Within the TVMWD service area, historical water demands have been heavily influenced by population growth, climate variability, and diverse land uses ranging from dense urban centers to large institutional campuses. However, as the Region looks toward 2050, water use trends are undergoing a significant transformation. Despite anticipated modest population and economic growth, overall regional water demands are projected to decline. This downward shift is driven by a strong local commitment to water use efficiency and the implementation of stringent new state conservation mandates.

This chapter provides a comprehensive overview of water demands across the Region. It details the aggregated historical and projected water use for the Participating Agencies and the Other Member Agencies. Furthermore, this chapter outlines the Region's successful compliance with past SB X7-7 targets and describes the transition to the new "Making Conservation a California Way of Life" (CWOL) regulatory framework, including the development of specific Urban Water Use Objectives (UWUOs). Finally, it highlights the robust portfolio of regional and local Demand Management Measures (DMMs) and public outreach programs that the agencies are actively deploying to achieve these long-term efficiency goals.

4.1. Total Water Demands by Agency

As shown in **Table 4-1**, despite some continued growth due to infill and redevelopment, the total projected demand in the Region is expected to decline over time through 2050. This is due to implementation of the State's new "Making Conservation a California Way of Life Regulation" (CWOL Regulation), which is discussed further in **Section 4.2.2**. To comply with the CWOL Regulation, it is anticipated that all the Participating Agencies will need to reduce their water demands relative to 2017 to 2021 demands. The reductions vary by agency and range from 9% (City of Pomona and GSWC – Claremont) to 37% (City of Glendora). This estimated reduction is based on the actual customer base in 2017-2021 so it does not account for demand increases from future growth. It is generally assumed that new growth will comply with water use efficiency standards due to current building codes.

Table 4-1. Projected Total Annual Retail Water Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Agency	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
City of Glendora	10,004	9,878	9,696	9,517	9,342
City of La Verne	6,352	6,340	6,301	6,263	6,228
City of Pomona	20,147	20,116	19,917	19,719	19,521
GSWC – Claremont	9,873	9,603	9,262	8,941	8,644
GSWC – San Dimas	9,713	10,000	9,970	9,937	9,903
Rowland Water District	10,267	10,004	9,777	9,584	9,421
Walnut Valley Water District	16,369	15,246	14,559	14,580	14,589
Projected Total Demand	82,725	81,187	79,482	78,541	77,648

4.2. Water Use Efficiency

The Region has invested in expanding and optimizing local water resources to reduce reliance on imported supplies and maintain cost stability for its customers. At the same time, the Region continues to advance water use efficiency and conservation programs that have meaningfully reduced per capita demand and strengthened long-term supply reliability. These conservation efforts are a core component of the Region’s resource management strategy and help maximize the value of available supplies.

4.2.1. SB X7-7 Compliance

Senate Bill 7 of Special Extended Session 7 (SBX7-7) was incorporated into the UWMP Act in 2009 and requires that all water suppliers increase water use efficiency with the overall goal to decrease per capita water consumption within the state by 20 percent by the year 2020. SBX7-7 required DWR to develop certain criteria, methods, and standard reporting forms through a public process that water suppliers could use to establish their baseline water use and determine their water conservation targets. SBX7-7 and DWR’s Methodologies for Calculating Baseline and Compliance Urban Per Capita Water Use (DWR, 2016) specify methodologies for determining the baseline water demand, 2015 interim urban water use target, and the 2020 urban water use target for Western, as described in detail in the Participating Agencies’ 2020 UWMPs. All of the Participating Agencies met their targets to reduce per capita water consumption by 20 percent by 2020. For final verification in the 2025 UWMP, **Section 3** of each retail agency chapter in **Part 2** also demonstrates that the suppliers met its 2020 target.

4.2.2. New Water Conservation Legislation

Going forward, Participating Agencies that are retail suppliers are continuing to align with new water use efficiency standards from the CWOL Regulation, which supersede SBX7-7 standards. In 2018, two policy bills were enacted by the California Legislature, Assembly Bill 1668 (AB1668, Friedman) and Senate Bill 606 (SB606, Hertzberg), collectively referred to as the “2018 Water Conservation Legislation.” Based on the 2018 Water Conservation Legislation, related legislation, and subsequent adoption of the CWOL Regulation (SWRCB, 2024), each urban retail water supplier must calculate and comply with its specific Urban Water Use Objective (UWUO), with efficiency standards becoming increasingly stringent through 2040. DWR and the SWRCB have developed a reporting framework for calculating the UWUO and compliance annually. The UWUO is composed of several standards to create one comprehensive objective, as shown in **Figure 4-1**.

Figure 4-1. Urban Water Use Objectives Regulation Overview



Indoor Residential

The indoor residential water use standard was set as part of Senate Bill (SB) 1157, which adopts recommendations made by DWR and the State Water Board to reduce indoor water use targets from 55 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) to 47 gpcd by 2025 and 42 gpcd by 2030.

Outdoor Residential

Outdoor residential use is expected to be based on the amount of irrigable area and an increasingly stringent landscape efficiency factor with compliance progress measured annually through 2035, when the efficiency factor is proposed to remain constant. The SWRCB assists agencies in calculating outdoor residential use budgets by providing aerial imagery that delineates irrigable irrigated, irrigable but not irrigated, and non-irrigable areas. This data has been provided to suppliers and is expected to be updated in five-year cycles.

Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional Landscape

Commercial, industrial, and institutional (CII) standards will be based on total gallons used and will require implementation of dedicated irrigation meters or in-lieu technologies, and other performance measures for conservation. Additionally, CII customer accounts will need to be classified into specific and general categories for reporting and compliance over the course of several years yet to be determined by the State.

Water Loss

The water loss component of the UWUO is a standalone component that must be met on its own beginning in 2028. **Section 2.1.3** of each retail agency chapter in **Part 2** describes the water loss standard and the agency's progress toward meeting it.

Additionally, each urban retail water supplier must submit an Annual Water Use Report (AWUR) starting January 1, 2024 to document progress toward complying with the UWUO regulations.

As discussed in **Section 2.2** of each retail agency chapter in **Part 2**, some Participating Agencies in this RUWMP elected to incorporate the conservation that would be required to meet the UWUO into the future demand projections directly and some elected to assume less conservation than would be required to meet the UWUO for the purpose of more conservative supply planning. The required calculations and reporting associated with the CWOL Regulations are complex and extensive and are therefore not required to be included in the UWMP.

4.2.3. Regional Demand Management Programs

TVMWD retail agencies have adopted water waste prevention ordinances, universal metering, tiered conservation pricing, and robust public education and outreach programs, as described in Section 8 of each retail agency chapter in **Part 2**. Common measures include active leak detection, annual water loss audits, and dedicated conservation staffing to support program implementation. All seven retail agencies participate in one or more components of MWD's regional rebate program: SoCal Water\$mart.

This program includes rebates for high efficiency indoor fixtures, irrigation devices, and turf removal. Other programs offered by retailers, or through participation with MWD, include water use efficiency surveys and leak repair programs.

Retail agencies have also launched unique and innovative efforts. La Verne provides video-based water-wise landscaping training classes that teaches how to use rainwater as a resource and manage irrigation in backyards. Walnut Valley installed Hydrant Guard check valves, which aim to reduce catastrophic water loss associated with broken fire hydrants. Rowland Heights offers multi-tiered school and youth education programs. Rowland Heights and Glendora have each deployed advanced metering systems with customer leak alerts and usage analytics. Pomona participates in, or hosts, more than a dozen events throughout the year including the “Water is Life” art poster and essay contest. Pomona also has a Water Watcher reporting line (online and via phone) for reporting visible leaks or excess runoff.

These regional and local programs work together to support the Region’s strategy to reduce demand, improve efficiency, reduce water loss, and reinforce conservation as California way of life.

5. Comparison of Regional Supplies and Demands

The continuous and reliable provision of potable water is the foundational mandate of urban water suppliers. In accordance with California Water Code § 10635(a), every urban water supplier must assess the reliability of its water service by comparing total projected water use with the projected water supply over a planning horizon of at least 20 years.

This chapter provides that core statutory assessment for the TVMWD service area as a whole, extending the planning horizon out to 2050 to ensure robust long-term resiliency. The analysis rigorously stress-tests the Region's water portfolio under varying hydrological conditions, specifically encompassing a Normal Water Year, a Single Dry Year, a drought scenario lasting Five Consecutive Water Years, and a highly conservative Twenty-Year Drought scenario.

To navigate the complexities of shared imported water aqueducts and interconnected groundwater aquifers, this chapter synthesizes supply and demand data gathered from across the entire 133-square-mile TVMWD service area. As established in **Section 1**, the aggregated "Regional Context" analysis meticulously integrates projections for both the seven Participating Agencies and the Other Member Agencies. By systematically incorporating all entities that draw upon the Region's shared infrastructure, the ensuing analysis provides a highly accurate, bottom-up aggregation of the regional water balance. The water service reliability assessment for each of the Participating agencies individually is presented in **Chapter 5** of the respective chapters in **Part 2**.

5.1. Supply and Demand Projections Methodology

Evaluating water supply reliability through the year 2050 requires sophisticated forecasting methodologies that account for complex demographic shifts, the escalating impacts of climate change, evolving regulatory constraints, and behavioral modifications in urban water consumption. The analytical framework developed for this 2025 RUWMP utilizes ground-truthed projections and operationally conservative supply capability assessments to rigorously test the Region's overall water portfolio.

5.1.1. Demand Projections

The demand projections utilized in this comparison (detailed in **Chapter 4**) were generated using a multi-scenario analytical approach. Recognizing that a single, flat population growth multiplier is insufficient for modern water planning, distinct demand projection methodologies were developed for each agency. These dynamic scenarios ranged from baseline trend continuations to aggressive conservation scenarios modeled to ensure statutory compliance with the new CWOL Regulation.

Through collaborative working sessions, each participating agency selected the specific methodology most representative of its local service area dynamics, accounting for factors such as high-density infill development, the prevalence of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), and historical per capita usage trends. Crucially, the finalized demand projections incorporate both "Passive Conservation" (savings achieved

organically through the natural replacement of older plumbing fixtures per California building codes) and "Active Conservation" (savings derived from agency-sponsored rebate initiatives and turf-removal programs). Detailed explanations of the demand projection methodology used for each of the Participating Agencies are included in **Section 2.2** of each agency chapter in **Part 2**.

5.1.2. Supply Projections

Water supply reliability planning frequently grapples with the discrepancy between contractual water rights (theoretical capacity) and actual, physical water availability (operational reality). To systematically address potential differences, the analytical framework for the 2025 RUWMP evaluated two distinct methodologies for projecting future local water supply: the "Paper Water" approach and the "Real Water" approach.

- **Option 1:** The "Real Water" Approach (Utilization-Based): This approach is grounded strictly in empirical operational data. Under this framework, the baseline local agency supplies are tied directly to the actual historical averages extracted and utilized between the years 2021 and 2025. This period provides a good representation of hydrologic variations because it includes the severe, critically dry conditions of 2021–2022, the exceptionally wet hydrology of 2023, and the transitional conditions of 2024–2025. This period also reflects the most recent operational constraints that impact supply availability. By capturing recent shifts in groundwater availability – specifically, extraction wells taken offline or restricted due to newly implemented PFAS and CEC water quality regulations – this baseline ensures the supply projections reflect current physical reality rather than outdated infrastructure capacities.
- **Option 2:** The "Paper Water" Approach (Capacity-Based): This approach projects future supply based on the maximum legal rights or absolute physical extraction capacity an agency holds within a basin. While useful for demonstrating legal entitlements and maximum potential supply, this approach can inadvertently mask critical infrastructure bottlenecks or localized water quality constraints (such as expanding contaminant plumes) that prevent an agency from accessing its full theoretical allocation.

To ensure the most conservative, defensible, and operationally realistic stress-test possible, the Participating Agencies unanimously selected the "Real Water" approach for their primary supply projections in this 2025 RUWMP. Once this empirical 2021–2025 baseline was established, specific climate change factors – derived from TVMWD's 2024 Climate Assessment (TVMWD, 2024a; provided in **Part 3, Appendix I**) and updated through 2025 for these analyses – were applied to project the degradation, volatility, or shift in local supply availability through 2050. Any projected unmet demand remaining after these adjusted local supplies are exhausted is assumed to be met by imported water supply deliveries from TVMWD and MWD.

Detailed explanations of the supply projection methodology used for each of the Participating Agencies are included in **Section 4** of each agency chapter in **Part 2**.

5.2. Summary of Regional Supplies and Demands

The core statutory requirement of the UWMP is the direct comparison of total water supply sources available to the water supplier with the long-term total projected water use over the next 20 years, in five-year increments. This assessment determines if the Region possesses the physical, contractual, and infrastructural capacity to support its population through 2050 under varying climatic stressors.

Because the Participating Agencies selected the "Real Water" (Utilization-Based) methodology for this analysis, projected supplies are managed conjunctively to meet projected demands. Any localized deficits in groundwater or surface water are met by imported water deliveries from TVMWD and MWD.

To understand the supply and demand comparisons in the following sections, it is critical to understand how the Region's water portfolio changes under hydrological stress.

A key trend in Southern California urban water management is that during dry years, water demand typically increases. Hotter baseline temperatures and a lack of natural precipitation drive residents and institutional campuses to increase outdoor irrigation to maintain landscaping. To meet this increased demand, the retail agencies adapt their operations. Some agencies ramp up extraction from local groundwater wells (drawing upon cyclical storage accounts), and agencies heavily reliant on imported water lean more on TVMWD to supply imported water from MWD.

As discussed in **Section 3.2.1**, Metropolitan's 2025 UWMP projects 100 percent imported water supply reliability under normal year, single dry year and 5 consecutive dry year scenarios and that conclusion is incorporated into this analysis (MWD, 2026b).

5.2.1. *Normal Year*

A "Normal Year" assessment evaluates the system under average climate conditions and standard baseline water yields. For this 2025 RUWMP, the Normal Year is defined by the average historical hydrology and usage patterns observed over the 2021–2025 baseline period. It is important to note that this specific five-year baseline applies exclusively to the Region's local supplies and retail demands; projected imported water availability relies on MWD's independent 2025 UWMP reliability modeling, which utilizes its own distinct, long-term historical baselines.

To accurately forecast reliability through 2050, the long-term climate change factors derived from the Region's 2024 Climate Assessment (described in **Section 2.5.2**) were applied to these baseline local supplies (described in **Section 3**) to account for projected warming, increased evapotranspiration, and shifting precipitation patterns. Additionally, the aggregated Normal Year demand projections incorporate anticipated demographic growth (described in **Section 2.3**) and the strict, mandatory active and passive conservation targets required by the new CWOL Regulations (described in **Section 4**).

Based on this thorough analysis, the TVMWD RUWMP Participating Agencies and entire service area exhibit total supply reliability through the year 2050. **Table 5-1** and **Table 5-2** detail the Normal Year

Supply and Demand comparison in five-year increments through 2050 for the specific Participating Agencies and the entire TVMWD service area, respectively.

Table 5-1. Normal Year Supply and Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Category	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Local Groundwater	31,495	32,173	31,697	31,046	30,225
City of Glendora	9,090	9,018	8,864	8,642	8,357
City of La Verne	1,849	1,834	1,803	1,758	1,700
City of Pomona	13,266	13,160	12,936	12,612	12,196
GSWC - Claremont	4,593	4,534	4,493	4,456	4,420
GSWC - San Dimas	1,996	1,970	1,952	1,937	1,921
Rowland Water District	700	1,657	1,649	1,641	1,633
Recycled Water¹	5,058	5,132	5,191	5,234	5,263
City of Pomona	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874
Rowland Water District	1,033	1,127	1,219	1,309	1,396
Walnut Valley Water District	2,152	2,131	2,098	2,051	1,993
Surface Water	1,691	1,674	1,649	1,616	1,576
City of Pomona	1,691	1,674	1,649	1,616	1,576
Imported Water (TVMWD/MWD)	41,991	37,199	35,956	35,684	35,658
City of Glendora	906	853	825	867	977
City of La Verne	4,503	4,506	4,498	4,505	4,528
City of Pomona	3,315	3,408	3,458	3,617	3,876
GSWC - Claremont	5,280	5,069	4,769	4,485	4,224
GSWC - San Dimas	7,036	7,321	7,310	7,294	7,278
Rowland Water District	7,433	6,128	5,836	5,588	5,380
Walnut Valley Water District	13,518	9,915	9,261	9,329	9,396
Imported Water (Other)²	2,491	5,009	4,988	4,960	4,926
City of Glendora	8	8	7	8	9
GSWC - San Dimas	682	709	707	706	705
Rowland Water District	1,101	1,092	1,073	1,047	1,012
Walnut Valley Water District	700	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
Projected Total Supply	82,726	81,187	79,481	78,541	77,648
City of Glendora	10,004	9,878	9,696	9,517	9,342
City of La Verne	6,352	6,340	6,301	6,263	6,228
City of Pomona	20,147	20,116	19,917	19,719	19,521
GSWC - Claremont	9,873	9,603	9,262	8,941	8,644
GSWC - San Dimas	9,714	10,000	9,969	9,937	9,904
Rowland Water District	10,267	10,004	9,777	9,584	9,421
Walnut Valley Water District	16,369	15,246	14,559	14,580	14,589
Projected Total Demand	82,726	81,187	79,481	78,541	77,648

1. To accurately reflect the separation of the Region's potable and non-potable distribution systems, it is assumed that projected Recycled Water supply strictly equals Recycled Water demand for non-potable uses. All other water supplies listed in this table are utilized exclusively to meet potable demands. There is no crossover of non-potable supplies to meet potable demands.

2. This category represents water supplies purchased, transferred, or imported from entities other than TVMWD/MWD (described in **Section 3.1.4**).

Table 5-2. Normal Year Supply and Demand (Regional Total – All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Category	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Local Groundwater	65,872	66,550	66,074	65,448	64,627
Recycled Water ¹	7,688	7,762	7,821	7,864	7,893
Surface Water	2,541	2,524	2,499	2,466	2,426
Imported Water (TVMWD/MWD)	60,832	56,210	54,998	54,755	54,729
Imported Water (Other) ²	16,239	18,756	18,735	18,708	18,673
Projected Total Supply	153,172	151,803	150,127	149,241	148,348
Projected Total Demand	153,172	151,803	150,127	149,241	148,348

1. To accurately reflect the separation of the Region's potable and non-potable distribution systems, it is assumed that projected Recycled Water supply strictly equals Recycled Water demand for non-potable uses. All other water supplies listed in this table are utilized exclusively to meet potable demands. There is no crossover of non-potable supplies to meet potable demands.
2. This category represents water supplies purchased, transferred, or imported from entities other than TVMWD/MWD (described in **Section 3.1.4**).

5.2.2. *Single Dry Year*

A "Single Dry Year" assessment models the reliability of the system under acute, short-term hydrological stress. To accurately model this scenario and predict future behavior, the analysis utilizes empirical data from the 2021 fiscal year, which represents a severe, comprehensively documented dry-year condition within the Region.

Based on TVMWD's operational data, the historical average year baseline was established using the five-year period of 2021–2025. During dry years, hotter temperatures and a lack of rainfall typically drive increased outdoor irrigation, resulting in higher overall water demand. To project future drought demands, historical peaking factors were calculated and applied to the projected normal year demands:

- **RUWMP Participating Agencies:** The ratio of water utilized by the seven Participating Agencies during 2021 (94,504 AF) to their historical average (83,031 AF) yielded a higher scaling factor of 114 percent.
- **Regional Total (All Agencies):** The ratio of water utilized by all 13 agencies during the historical single dry year of 2021 (156,297 AF) to the historical average (144,907 AF) yielded a scaling factor of 108 percent.

These respective scaling factors (114% and 108%) were applied to the projected normal year demands to forecast the elevated water demands during future single dry years. As shown in **Table 5-3** and **Table 5-4**, the Region possesses the physical and contractual capacity to completely fulfill these elevated demands, indicating total reliability during all future Single Dry Year events modeled through 2050.

Table 5-3. Single Dry Year Supply and Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Category	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Local Groundwater	35,847	36,619	36,077	35,336	34,402
Recycled Water ¹	5,757	5,841	5,908	5,957	5,990
Surface Water	1,925	1,906	1,877	1,839	1,793
Imported Water (TVMWD/MWD)	47,793	42,339	40,925	40,615	40,586
Imported Water (Other) ²	2,835	5,701	5,677	5,646	5,606
Projected Total Supply	94,157	92,406	90,464	89,393	88,377
Projected Total Demand	94,157	92,406	90,464	89,393	88,377

1. To accurately reflect the separation of the Region's potable and non-potable distribution systems, it is assumed that projected Recycled Water supply strictly equals Recycled Water demand for non-potable uses. All other water supplies listed in this table are utilized exclusively to meet potable demands. There is no crossover of non-potable supplies to meet potable demands.
2. This category represents water supplies purchased, transferred, or imported from entities other than TVMWD/MWD (described in **Section 3.1.4**).

Table 5-4. Single Dry Year Supply and Demand (Regional Total – All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Category	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Local Groundwater	71,050	71,781	71,267	70,592	69,707
Recycled Water ¹	8,292	8,372	8,436	8,482	8,513
Surface Water	2,741	2,723	2,696	2,660	2,616
Imported Water (TVMWD/MWD)	65,614	60,629	59,321	59,059	59,031
Imported Water (Other) ²	17,515	20,231	20,208	20,178	20,141
Projected Total Supply	165,212	163,736	161,928	160,972	160,009
Projected Total Demand	165,212	163,736	161,928	160,972	160,009

3. To accurately reflect the separation of the Region's potable and non-potable distribution systems, it is assumed that projected Recycled Water supply strictly equals Recycled Water demand for non-potable uses. All other water supplies listed in this table are utilized exclusively to meet potable demands. There is no crossover of non-potable supplies to meet potable demands.
4. This category represents water supplies purchased, transferred, or imported from entities other than TVMWD/MWD (described in **Section 3.1.4**).

5.2.3. Five-Consecutive Dry Year and Drought Risk (2026-2030) Assessments

In accordance with California Water Code Section 10635(b), the evaluation of a drought lasting five consecutive water years serves as the Region's statutory Drought Risk Assessment (DRA). This assessment verifies the near-term resiliency of the Region's cyclic storage mechanisms and wholesale contracts by stress-testing the limits of aquifer drawdown and reservoir depletion over the immediate five-year planning horizon (2026 through 2030).

To estimate demands during a five-consecutive-year drought, the Region utilized the historical drought sequence from 2018 through 2022. The ratio of water utilized in each of these years was compared to the 2021-2025 historical average to yield specific annual scaling factors.

- **RUWMP Participating Agencies:** The historical water demand sequence yielded scaling factors of 112 percent, 102 percent, 107 percent, 114 percent, and 107 percent for years one through five.
- **Regional Total (All Agencies):** The historical water demand sequence yielded scaling factors of 112 percent, 102 percent, 107 percent, 108 percent, and 104 percent for years one through five of the drought, respectively.

These sequences of scaling factors were applied to the projected normal year demands to forecast the fluctuating, but consistently elevated, demands of a multi-year drought.

As shown in **Table 5-5** and **Table 5-6**, because the Region’s groundwater basins are managed holistically using OSY, agencies are legally and physically permitted to intentionally draw down aquifer levels during a prolonged five-year drought starting in 2026, balancing the overdraft with replenishment during subsequent wet years. Consequently, backed by MWD’s surface reservoirs and local groundwater banks, the Region projects sufficient supply capacity to meet these scaled demands across a five-year drought horizon through 2030.

Table 5-5. Regional Drought Risk Assessment (2026-2030) Supply and Demand by Source (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Category	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Local Groundwater	35,241	32,127	33,642	35,847	33,634
Recycled Water ¹	5,660	5,160	5,403	5,757	5,402
Surface Water	1,893	1,725	1,807	1,925	1,806
Imported Water (TVMWD/MWD)	46,986	42,834	44,853	47,793	44,843
Imported Water (Other) ²	2,787	2,541	2,661	2,835	2,660
Projected Total Supply	92,567	84,387	88,365	94,157	88,346
Projected Total Demand	92,567	84,387	88,365	94,157	88,346

1. To accurately reflect the separation of the Region's potable and non-potable distribution systems, it is assumed that projected Recycled Water supply strictly equals Recycled Water demand for non-potable uses. All other water supplies listed in this table are utilized exclusively to meet potable demands. There is no crossover of non-potable supplies to meet potable demands.
2. This category represents water supplies purchased, transferred, or imported from entities other than TVMWD/MWD (described in **Section 3.1.4**).

Table 5-6. Regional Drought Risk Assessment (2026-2030) Supply and Demand by Source (Regional Total – All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Category	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Local Groundwater	73,708	67,194	70,361	71,050	68,201
Recycled Water ¹	8,602	7,842	8,212	8,292	7,960
Surface Water	2,844	2,592	2,715	2,741	2,631
Imported Water (TVMWD/MWD)	68,069	62,054	64,978	65,614	62,983
Imported Water (Other) ²	18,170	16,565	17,345	17,515	16,813
Projected Total Supply	171,393	156,247	163,612	165,212	158,588
Projected Total Demand	171,393	156,247	163,612	165,212	158,588

1. To accurately reflect the separation of the Region's potable and non-potable distribution systems, it is assumed that projected Recycled Water supply strictly equals Recycled Water demand for non-potable uses. All other water supplies listed in this table are utilized exclusively to meet potable demands. There is no crossover of non-potable supplies to meet potable demands.
2. This category represents water supplies purchased, transferred, or imported from entities other than TVMWD/MWD (described in **Section 3.1.4**).

While **Table 5-5** and **Table 5-6** illustrate the detailed shift in supply sources for the 2030 horizon, **Table 5-7** and

Table 5-8 demonstrate the Region's total supply reliability across all five-year drought horizons through 2050.

Table 5-7. Multiple Dry Years Supply and Demand (RUWMP Participating Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Sequence Year	Category	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
First Year	Projected Supply	92,567	90,845	88,936	87,884	86,885
	Projected Demand	92,567	90,845	88,936	87,884	86,885
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Second Year	Projected Supply	84,387	82,817	81,077	80,118	79,207
	Projected Demand	84,387	82,817	81,077	80,118	79,207
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Third Year	Projected Supply	88,365	86,721	84,898	83,894	82,940
	Projected Demand	88,365	86,721	84,898	83,894	82,940
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Fourth Year	Projected Supply	94,157	92,406	90,464	89,393	88,377
	Projected Demand	94,157	92,406	90,464	89,393	88,377
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Fifth Year	Projected Supply	88,346	86,702	84,880	83,876	82,922
	Projected Demand	88,346	86,702	84,880	83,876	82,922
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5-8. Multiple Dry Years Supply and Demand (Regional Total - All Agencies) (Acre-Feet)

Sequence Year	Category	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
First Year	Projected Supply	171,393	169,861	167,985	166,994	165,995
	Projected Demand	171,393	169,861	167,985	166,994	165,995
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Second Year	Projected Supply	156,247	154,851	153,141	152,237	151,326
	Projected Demand	156,247	154,851	153,141	152,237	151,326
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Third Year	Projected Supply	163,612	162,149	160,359	159,412	158,458
	Projected Demand	163,612	162,149	160,359	159,412	158,458
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Fourth Year	Projected Supply	165,212	163,736	161,928	160,972	160,009
	Projected Demand	165,212	163,736	161,928	160,972	160,009
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0
Fifth Year	Projected Supply	158,588	157,171	155,435	154,518	153,593
	Projected Demand	158,588	157,171	155,435	154,518	153,593
	Surplus / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0

6. References

- California Department of Finance (DOF). (2025, May). *E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2020-2025*. Demographic Research Unit, Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). (2016, February). *Methodologies for Calculating Baseline and Compliance Urban Per Capita Water Use*. Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). (2025, December). *2025 State Water Project Delivery Capability Report*. Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR) (2026a, February). *Urban Water Management Plan Guidebook*, State of California Natural Resources Agency.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). (2026b). *California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) Station 78 - Pomona*. Accessed February 2026.
- Chino Basin Watermaster. (2020, October). *Optimum Basin Management Program (OBMP) Update*. [The OBMP Update is available at this link.](#)
- City of Irwindale. (2025, January). *Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for 14005 Live Oak Avenue Project*. Available at: [The Final IS/MND is available at this link.](#)
- Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB). (2014, September). *Water Quality Control Plan, Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan for the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties)*. [The Water Quality Control Plan is available at this link.](#)
- Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster. (2023). *Five-Year Water Quality and Supply Plan (2023-2028)*. Azusa, CA.
- Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster. (2025, May). *Final Determination of Operating Safe Yield for 2025-26 Through 2029-30*. Available at: [The Final Determination is available at this link.](#)
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD). (2025). *Rate Structure Administrative Procedures Handbook (FY24/25)*. [The 2024 to 2025 handbook is available at this link.](#)
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD). (2026a, January). *Rate Structure Administrative Procedures Handbook (FY25/26)*. [The 2025 to 2026 handbook is available at this link.](#)
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD). (2026b, February). *2025 Urban Water Management Plan*. [The MWD 2025 UWMP is available at this link.](#)

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD), Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA), Chino Basin Watermaster, and Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD). (2003, June). *Chino Basin Dry-Year Yield Program Agreement*.

[The MWD, IEUA, TVWMD agreement is available at this link.](#)

Puente Basin Water Agency (PBWA). (2023, December). *Final TM-1: Conceptual Understanding of Puente Basin Technical Memorandum*. [The technical memorandum is available at this link.](#)

Puente Basin Water Agency (PBWA). (2024a, March). *Final TM-2: Goals and Concepts for Improved Basin Management Technical Memorandum*. [The technical memorandum is available at this link.](#)

Puente Basin Water Agency (PBWA). (2024b, October). *Minutes Of Meeting Of The Board Of Commissioners Of Puente Basin Water Agency*.

[The PBWA board meeting minutes are available at this link.](#)

Puente Basin Water Agency (PBWA). (2025, June). *Final TM-3: Basin Management Alternatives for the Puente Basin Groundwater Management Plan*.

[The final PBWA technical memorandum is available at his link.](#)

San Bernardino County Superior Court. (2017, April 28). *Order Regarding Safe Yield Reset (Case No. RCVRS 51010)*.

San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership and California State Polytechnic University, Pomona (SGVEP & Cal Poly Pomona). (2025). *2025 San Gabriel Valley Economic Forecast*.

Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (SARWQCB). (2025, April). *Water Quality Control Plan for the Santa Ana River Basin*. [The SARWQCB plan is available at his link.](#)

Six Basins Watermaster. (2025, March). *2024 Annual Watermaster Report*.

[The Six Basins report is available at his link.](#)

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). (2024). *Connect SoCal 2024: The 2024-2050 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy*. Los Angeles, CA.

Spadra Basin Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). (2022, January). *Groundwater Sustainability Plan for the Spadra Basin*. Prepared by West Yost Associates.

[The Spadra Basin GSA is available at his link.](#)

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). (2020a, October). *Order Approving Change in Place of Use, Purpose of Use, and Quantity of Discharge (Wastewater Change Petition WW0104)*.

[The SWRCB 2020a Order is available at this link.](#)

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). (2020b, October). *Order Approving Change in Place of Use, Purpose of Use, and Quantity of Discharge (Wastewater Change Petition WW0107)*. [The SWRCB 2020b Order is available at this link.](#)

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). (2024). *Making Conservation a California Way of Life Regulation*. Adopted July 3, 2024. Sacramento, CA.
[The SWRCB 2024 regulation is available at this link.](#)

Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD). (2024a, July). *Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment*. [Unpublished internal report]. Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Claremont, CA. Available upon request.

Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD). (2024b, November). *Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2024*.
[The TVMWD annual report is available at this link.](#)

Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD). (2025, February). *Water Resources Master Plan*.
[The TVMWD 2018-2023 master plan is available at this link.](#)

U.S. Census Bureau. (2024). *American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates, 2018-2023*. Accessed via the California Department of Water Resources Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Mapping Tool.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2024, April). *PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation*. Washington, D.C.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). (2026). *National Water Information System data (USGS Water Data for the Nation), Gage 11085000*. [The USGS regulation is available at this link.](#)